

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

TAXATION DIVISION

COMPTROLLER OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS CASE LIST AND SUMMARY OF ISSUES

December 2004

Table of Contents

Γable of Ca	isesix
Franchise T	ax
	Anderson-Clayton Bros. Funeral Home, Inc.; Restland of Dallas, Inc.; Restland
	Funeral Home; Singing Hills Funeral Homes, Inc.; Laurel Land Funeral Home
	of Fort Worth, Inc.; Blue Bonnet Hills Funeral Home, Inc.; and Blue Bonnet
	Hills Memorial Park, Inc. v. Rylander, et al
	CTX Mortgage Co., LLC, as Successor in Interest to CTX Mortgage Co., Inc. v.
	Strayhorn, et al
	Centex Construction Co., Inc., as Successor in Interest to Centex Bateson
	Construction Co., Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al
	Centex Construction Co., Inc., as Successor in Interest to Centex Bateson
	Construction Co., Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al
	Centex International, Inc., as Successor in Interest to 2728 Holding Corp., as
	Successor in Interest to Centex Real Estate Corp. v Strayhorn, et al
	Centex Materials, L.P., As Successor in Interest to Centex Materials, Inc. v.
	Strayhorn, et al
	Central Telephone Co. of Texas and United Telephone Co. of Texas v.
	Rylander, et al
	Chevron USA, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al
	DaimlerChrysler Services North American, LLC
	Dillard Department Stores, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al
	El Paso Corp. v. Strayhorn, et al
	El Paso Natural Gas Co. v. Strayhorn, et al
	First Co. v. Rylander, et al
	Home Interiors & Gifts, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al
	Inland Truck Parts Co. v. Strayhorn, et al
	Inova Diagnostics, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al
	Randall's Food & Drugs, Inc. v. Rylander, et al
	Reliant Energy Corp. (formerly Houston Industries, Inc.) v. Rylander, et al
	Reliant Energy Gas Transmission Co., fka Noram Gas Transmission Co. v. Rylander,
	et al
	Southern Union Co. v. Rylander, et al
	Southwestern Bell Telephone Co. v. Rylander, et al
	Strattec Security Corp. v. Strayhorn, et al
	Texaco Refining & Marketing (East), Inc. v. Rylander, et al
	Tyson Foods, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al
	Viacom International, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al

Sales Tax.		13
	7-Eleven, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	. 13
	AccuTel of Texas, L.P. v. Rylander, et al	. 13
	Advanta Business Services Corp. v. Rylander, et al	
	Alpine Industries, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	
	Amerada Hess Corp. v. Strayhorn, et al	. 14
	American Oil Change Corp. v. Rylander, et al	. 15
	Anderson Merchandisers Holding, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	
	Apollo Paint & Body Shop, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	. 16
	Aramis Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	
	Aramis Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	. 16
	B&B Gravel Co. v. Strayhorn, et al	. 17
	BGK Operating Co., Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	. 17
	Baldry, Ann dba Annie's Housekeeping Services v. Sharp, et al	. 18
	Bell Bottom Foundation Co. v. Rylander, et al	. 18
	Bell Helicopter Textron, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	. 18
	Bell Helicopter Textron, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	. 19
	Blue Cross & Blue Shield of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	. 19
	Boeing North America, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	. 20
	Boeing North America, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	. 20
	Bonart, Richard C., DVM v. Strayhorn, et al	. 21
	Broadcast Satellite International, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	. 21
	C & T Stone Co. v. Rylander, et al	. 21
	Central Power & Light Co. v. Sharp, et al	. 22
	Chapal Zenray, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	. 22
	Chaparral Steel Co. and Chaparral Steel Midlothian, L.P. v. Strayhorn, et al	. 23
	Chevron Pipe Line Co. and West Texas Gulf Pipe Line Co. v. Strayhorn,	
	et al	. 23
	Church & Dwight Co., Inc. v. Rylander, et al	. 24
	Clinique Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	. 24
	Clinique Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	. 24
	Coastal Refining & Marketing, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	
	Cosmair, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	. 25
	Delta Air Lines, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	. 26
	Dillard's, Inc., aka Dillard Department Stores, Inc., and Dillard Texas	
	Operating Limited Partnership v. Rylander, et al	. 26
	Dillard's Inc., aka Dillard Department Stores, Inc., and Dillard Texas	
	Operating Limited Partnership v. Strayhorn, et al	
	DuPont Photomasks, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	. 27
	E. de la Garza, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	
	EFW. Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	. 28

ELC Beauty LLC, as Successor-in-Interest to Aramis Services, Inc. v.
Rylander, et al
Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al
Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al
Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al
Ethicon, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al
F M Express Food Mart, Inc., and Fouad Hanna Mekdessi v. Rylander, et al 31
FXI Corp. v. Rylander, et al
Fiesta Texas Theme Park, Ltd. v. Sharp, et al
Fiesta Texas Theme Park, Ltd. and San Antonio Theme Park, L.P. v.
Rylander, et al
Garza, Lawrence v. Sharp, et al
General Dynamics Corp. v. Rylander, et al
General Dynamics Corp. v. Rylander, et al
Gift Box Corp. of America, Inc. v. Rylander, et al
Graybar Electric Co., Inc. v. Sharp, et al
Grocers Supply-Institutional-Convenience, Inc. v. Rylander, et al
H.J. Wilson Co., Inc. v. Sharp, et al
Herndon Marine Products, Inc. v. Sharp, et al
Hollon Oil Co. v. Strayhorn, et al
JBI, Inc. v. Rylander, et al
JBS Packing Co., Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al
J.C. Penney Co., Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al
Jerman Cookie Co. v. Rylander, et al
Kennedy, Gary G. dba Kennedy's Korner v. Rylander, et al
Kroger Co., The v. Strayhorn, et al
LTV Aerospace & Defense Co. v. Rylander, et al
LabOne, Inc. v. Rylander, et al
Laredo Coca-Cola Bottling Co., and Coca-Cola Enterprises, Inc. v.
Strayhorn, et al
Laredo Coca-Cola Bottling Co., and Coca-Cola Enterprises, Inc. v.
Strayhorn, et al
Laredo Country Club, Inc., A Texas Corp. v. Sharp, et al
Laredo Pizza, Inc., and Samuel L. Alford, and L & H Pacific, L.L.C. v.
Strayhorn, et al
Lee Construction and Maintenance Co. v. Rylander, et al
Levy, Tara, et al. v. OfficeMax, Inc. and Best Buy Stores, L.P
Liaison Resources, L.P., and David S. Claunch v. Rylander, et al
Local Neon Co., Inc. v. Rylander, et al
Lockheed Martin Corp., as Successor to Lockheed Martin Vought Systems
Corp. and Loral Vought Systems Corp. v. Rylander, et al

Lockheed Corp. v. Rylander, et al	44
Lockheed Martin Corp. v. Rylander, et al	44
Lockheed Martin Corp., Successor to Lockheed Martin Vought Systems	
Corp. v. Rylander, et al	44
Lockheed Martin Corp. v. Strayhorn, et al	45
Lockheed Martin Kelly Aviation Center, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	45
MG Building Materials, Ltd. v. Strayhorn, et al	46
Mars, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	46
May Department Stores Co., The v. Strayhorn, et al	47
Medaphis Physicians Services Corp. v. Sharp, et al	48
Mitchell, Christia Parr v. Rylander, et al	48
Nachhattar Tejpal Legha Enterprises, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	48
Neiman Marcus Group, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	49
Neiman Marcus Group, Inc., The v. Rylander, et al	49
North American Intelecom, Inc., et al. v. Sharp, et al	50
North Texas Asset Management, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	50
Northrop Grumman Systems Corp. (Successor to Northrop Grumman Corp.	
and Vought Aircraft Co.) v. Rylander, et al	51
Petrolite Corp. v. Sharp, et al.	51
RAI Credit Corp. v. Rylander, et al	51
Raytheon E-Systems, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	52
Raytheon Co., as Successor in Interest to Raytheon Training, Inc. v.	
Rylander, et al	53
Raytheon Co. and Daimlerchrysler Corp. as Successors to Central Texas	
Airborne Systems, Inc., fka Chrysler Technologies Airborne	
Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	53
Raytheon Co., as Successor to Raytheon TI Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	54
Raytheon Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.	54
Raytheon Co., as Successor to Raytheon E-Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	55
Raytheon Co., as Successor to Raytheon E-Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	55
Reynolds Metals Co. v. Strayhorn, et al	56
Roadway Express, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	57
Robbins & Myers, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	57
Rockwell Collins, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	58
Rollins & Rollins Enterprises, Inc., dba Country Kwik Stop v. Rylander,	
et al	
Sabine Mining Co., The v. Strayhorn, et al	58
San Antonio Spurs, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.	59
Schoenborn & Doll Enterprises, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	59
Service Merchandise Co., Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	60
Sharper Image Corp. v. Rylander, et al	60

Snarper Image Corp. v. Rylander, et al	
Southern Sandblasting and Coatings, Inc. v. Rylander,	et al61
Southwest Food Processing & Refrigerated Services,	aka Southwest
Refrigerated Warehousing Services v. Rylande	er, et al 62
Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P. v. Strayhorn, et al.	
Sprint International Communications, Inc. v. Sharp, et	al63
Steamatic of Austin, Inc., et al. v. Rylander, et al	
Summit Photographix, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	
Sysco Food Services of Austin, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et a	
Sysco Food Services of Houston, L.P. (fka Sysco Foo	
Houston, Inc.) v. Rylander, et al	
Sysco Food Services of Houston, L.P. (fka Sysco Food	
Houston, Inc.) v. Strayhorn, et al	
TCCT Real Estate, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	
TCCT Real Estate, Inc. as Successor to TCC Austin I	
et al.	_
TDI-Halter, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	
Telecable Associates, Inc.; Teleservice Corp. of Amer	
Inc.; TCA Cable of Amarillo, Inc.; and Texas	
Inc. v. Rylander, et al	•
Texaco, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	
Texas Gulf, Inc. v. Bullock, et al	
Texas Instruments, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	
Texas Instruments, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	
Union Carbide Corp. v. Rylander, et al	
United Space Alliance, LLC v. Strayhorn, et al	
Val-Pak Franchise Operations, Inc. dba Valpak of Ho	
et al	
West Texas Pizza, Limited Partnership v. Sharp, et al.	
White Swan, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	
Williams, Duane Everett v. Comptroller	
World Fitness Centers, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	
Zale Delaware, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	
Zale Delaware, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	
Insurance Tax	
Allstate County Mutual Insurance Co.; Allstate Insura	
Indemnity Co.; Allstate Texas Lloyds; and All	
Casualty Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al	- ·
American Bankers Insurance Co. of Florida, et al. v. A	
American Fidelity Assurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al	
American International Specialty Lines Insurance Co.	
I morrous mornaronal operating Lines institute Co.	

	Fireman's Fund Insurance Co. of Ohio v. Rylander, et al	75
	First American Title Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al	75
	First American Title Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al	76
	Lexington Insurance Co., Landmark Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al	76
	Metropolitan Life Insurance Co., et al. v. A.W. Pogue, et al	77
	Metropolitan Life Insurance Co., et al. v. A.W. Pogue, et al	77
	Old Republic Title Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al	78
	Old Republic National Title Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al	78
	STP Nuclear Operating Co. v. Strayhorn, et al	79
	Security National Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al	79
	St. Paul Surplus Lines Co. v. Rylander, et al	80
	Universe Life Insurance Co. v. State of Texas	80
	Universe Life Insurance Co., The v. Cornyn, et al	81
	Warranty Underwriters Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al	81
Other Taxes		83
	Alpine ISD v. Strayhorn	83
	Armelin, John M. v. City of Houston	83
	Arnold, Jessamine J., Estate of, Deceased, and Jim Arnold, Jr., Independent Execu	ıtor
	v. Rylander, et al	83
	Bailiff, Michael W. and Sylvia S. Bailiff v. Bexar County Appraisal District,	
	et al.	84
	Barbers Hill ISD v. Comptroller	84
	Bay City ISD v. Comptroller	85
	CarMax Auto Superstores, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	85
	Castleberry ISD; Ennis ISD; Canyon ISD; La Porte ISD v. Comptroller	85
	Chevron USA, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	86
	Comfort ISD v. Comptroller	86
	Commerce ISD v. Comptroller	87
	ConocoPhillips Co. v. Strayhorn, et al	87
	Cypress-Fairbanks ISD, et al. v. Troy G. Rountree, et al	87
	El Paso Natural Gas Co. v. Sharp	88
	Fort Worth's PR's, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	88
	Gilani, Fred v. Progressive Amusement, Inc., Craig Byler and Comptroller	89
	Glen Rose ISD v. Comptroller	89
	Greenville ISD v. Comptroller	89
	Harris County, et al. v. John W. Adams, et al	90
	Huntsville ISD v. Comptroller	90
	Lake Austin Spa Investors, Ltd. v. Rylander, et al.	91
	MFC Finance Co. of Texas v. Rylander, et al.	91
	Marathon ISD v. Strayhorn	
	McLane Co., Inc. and McLane Foodservice-Lubbock, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	92

	Mirage Real Estate, Inc., et al. v. Richard Durbin, et al	93
	Petro Express Management, L.L.C. v. Rylander, et al	93
	Preston Motors by George L. Preston, Owner v. Sharp, et al	93
	Quinlan ISD v. Strayhorn	94
	Ranger Fuels & Maintenance, L.L.C. v. Rylander, et al	94
	Ranger Fuels & Maintenance, Inc., LLC v. Alon USA, LP	95
	Robinson, Barbara Cooke, Estate of v. Strayhorn, et al	95
	San Vicente ISD v. Strayhorn	95
	State Farm Fire and Casualty Co. and State Farm Mutual Automobile	
	Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al	96
	Terlingua Common ISD v. Comptroller	96
	Texaco Exploration & Production, Inc	96
	That's Entertainment - San Antonio, LLC dba Park Place v. Strayhorn, et al	97
	Willow Creek Resources, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	97
	Yantis ISD v. Comptroller	98
Closed Case	es	99
	6S-B, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	99
	Akin, Ted M. v. State of Texas, Dallas County Appraisal District, et al	99
	Alexopolous, Dimitrios P. v. Rylander, et al	100
	Alvarado ISD v. Comptroller	100
	Avery ISD v. Comptroller	101
	Bandas, David v. Rylander, et al	101
	Bedrock General Contractors v. Rylander, et al	101
	Big Tex Air Conditioning, Inc. v. Bullock, et al	
	Broaddus ISD v. Comptroller	102
	Caddo Mills ISD v. Comptroller	103
	Colt, Mach V., Trustee of the Harry T. Lloyd Charitable Trust, Successor	
	in Interest to House of Lloyd, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	103
	Columbia-Brazoria ISD v. Comptroller	104
	Comstock ISD v. Comptroller	104
	Dorinco Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al	104
	Forney ISD v. Comptroller	105
	Hines Interests Limited Partnership v. Rylander, et al	105
	House of Lloyd, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	106
	Inova Diagnostics, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	106
	JHS Enterprises, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	107
	John Hancock Mutual Life Insurance Co., The v. Rylander, et al	107
	Lebaron Hotel Corp., dba The Lebaron Hotel v. Sharp, et al	
	Lubbock-Cooper ISD v. Comptroller	
	Lynch, Michael J. II, Assignee of Estrella Sola, Inc. v. Strayhorn	
	Marfa ISD v. Comptroller	109

	Mineral Wells ISD v. Comptroller	109
	National Business Furniture, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	109
	Point Isabel ISD v. Comptroller	110
	Praxair, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	110
	Praxair, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	111
	Presidio ISD v. Comptroller	111
	R Communications, Inc. fka RN Communications, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	111
	R.G.V. Vending, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	112
	Rahmes, Todd W., Individually and on Behalf of All Similarly Situated C	onsumers v.
	Louis Shanks of Texas, Inc., Strayhorn, et al	112
	Shelton, James M., Estate of, Deceased, and Carroll A. Maxon, Indepen	ndent
	Co-Executor v. Rylander, et al	113
	Stephenville ISD v. Comptroller	113
	Stephenville ISD v. Comptroller	114
	Tarkington ISD v. Comptroller	114
	Texas Instruments, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	114
	USA Waste Services of Houston, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	115
	U.S. Home Corp. v. Rylander, et al	116
	United Services Automobile Association & USAA Life Insurance Co. v.	Rylander, et
	al	116
	Westcott Communications, Inc., Law Enforcement Television Network,	Inc., Westcott
	ECI, Inc. and TI-IN Acquisition Corp. v. Sharp, et al	117
Index		

Table of Cases

6S-B, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	99
7-Eleven, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	13
AccuTel of Texas, L.P. v. Rylander, et al	
Advanta Business Services Corp. v. Rylander, et al	13
Akin, Ted M. v. State of Texas, Dallas County Appraisal District, et al	
Alexopolous, Dimitrios P. v. Rylander, et al	
Allstate County Mutual Insurance Co.; Allstate Insurance Co.; Allstate Indemnity Co.;	
Allstate Texas Lloyds; and Allstate Property and Casualty Insurance Co. v.	
Strayhorn, et al	73
Alpine Industries, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	14
Alpine ISD v. Strayhorn	
Alvarado ISD v. Comptroller	100
Amerada Hess Corp. v. Strayhorn, et al	14
American Bankers Insurance Co. of Florida, et al. v. Ann Richards, et al	73
American Fidelity Assurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al	
American International Specialty Lines Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al	74
American Oil Change Corp. v. Rylander, et al	15
Anderson Merchandisers Holding, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	15
Anderson-Clayton Bros. Funeral Home, Inc.; Restland of Dallas, Inc.; Restland Funeral	
Home; Singing Hills Funeral Homes, Inc.; Laurel Land Funeral Home of Fort Wor	rth, Inc.; Blue
Bonnet Hills Funeral Home, Inc.; and Blue Bonnet Hills Memorial Park,	
Inc. v. Rylander, et al	1
Apollo Paint & Body Shop, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	16
Aramis Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	16
Aramis Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	16
Armelin, John M. v. City of Houston	83
Arnold, Jessamine J., Estate of, Deceased, and Jim Arnold, Jr., Independent Executor v. l	Rylander, et
al	83
Avery ISD v. Comptroller	101
B&B Gravel Co. v. Strayhorn, et al	17
Bailiff, Michael W. and Sylvia S. Bailiff v. Bexar County Appraisal District, et al	84
Baldry, Ann dba Annie's Housekeeping Services v. Sharp, et al	18
Bandas, David v. Rylander, et al	101
Barbers Hill ISD v. Comptroller	
Bay City ISD v. Comptroller	85
Bedrock General Contractors v. Rylander, et al	
Bell Bottom Foundation Co. v. Rylander, et al	
Bell Helicopter Textron, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	19

Bell Helicopter Textron, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	18
BGK Operating Co., Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	17
Big Tex Air Conditioning, Inc. v. Bullock, et al	102
Blue Cross & Blue Shield of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	19
Boeing North America, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	20
Boeing North America, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	20
Bonart, Richard C., DVM v. Strayhorn, et al	21
Broadcast Satellite International, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	21
Broaddus ISD v. Comptroller	102
C & T Stone Co. v. Rylander, et al	21
Caddo Mills ISD v. Comptroller	103
CarMax Auto Superstores, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	85
Castleberry ISD; Ennis ISD; Canyon ISD; La Porte ISD v. Comptroller	85
Centex Construction Co., Inc., as Successor in Interest to Centex Bateson Construction	
Co., Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	2
Centex Construction Co., Inc., as Successor in Interest to Centex Bateson Construction	
Co., Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	2
Centex International, Inc., as Successor in Interest to 2728 Holding Corp., as	erest to
Centex Real Estate Corp. v Strayhorn, et al	3
Centex Materials, L.P., As Successor in Interest to Centex Materials, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	3
Central Power & Light Co. v. Sharp, et al	
Central Telephone Co. of Texas and United Telephone Co. of Texas v. Rylander, et al	4
Chapal Zenray, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	22
Chaparral Steel Co. and Chaparral Steel Midlothian, L.P. v. Strayhorn, et al	23
Chevron Pipe Line Co. and West Texas Gulf Pipe Line Co. v. Strayhorn, et al	23
Chevron USA, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	86
Chevron USA, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	4
Church & Dwight Co., Inc. v. Rylander, et al	24
Clinique Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	24
Clinique Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	24
Coastal Refining & Marketing, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	25
Colt, Mach V., Trustee of the Harry T. Lloyd Charitable Trust, Successor in Interest to	
House of Lloyd, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	103
Columbia-Brazoria ISD v. Comptroller	104
Comfort ISD v. Comptroller	86
Commerce ISD v. Comptroller	87
Comstock ISD v. Comptroller	104
ConocoPhillips Co. v. Strayhorn, et al	87
Cosmair, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	25
CTX Mortgage Co., LLC, as Successor in Interest to CTX Mortgage Co., Inc. v. Strayhorn,	
et al.	1

Cypress-Fairbanks ISD, et al. v. Troy G. Rountree, et al	87
DaimlerChrysler Services North American, LLC	5
Delta Air Lines, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	26
Dillard Department Stores, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	5
Dillard's Inc., aka Dillard Department Stores, Inc., and Dillard Texas Operating Limited Partn	ership v.
Strayhorn, et al	27
Dillard's, Inc., aka Dillard Department Stores, Inc., and Dillard Texas Operating Limited Parti	nership v.
Rylander, et al	26
Dorinco Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al	104
DuPont Photomasks, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	27
E. de la Garza, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	28
EFW, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	28
El Paso Corp. v. Strayhorn, et al	6
El Paso Natural Gas Co. v. Strayhorn, et al	6
El Paso Natural Gas Co. v. Sharp	88
ELC Beauty LLC, as Successor-in-Interest to Aramis Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	29
Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	30
Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	30
Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	29
Ethicon, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	30
F M Express Food Mart, Inc., and Fouad Hanna Mekdessi v. Rylander, et al	31
Fiesta Texas Theme Park, Ltd. and San Antonio Theme Park, L.P. v. Rylander, et al	32
Fiesta Texas Theme Park, Ltd. v. Sharp, et al	32
Fireman's Fund Insurance Co. of Ohio v. Rylander, et al	75
First American Title Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al	75
First American Title Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al	76
First Co. v. Rylander, et al	7
Forney ISD v. Comptroller	105
Fort Worth's PR's, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	88
FXI Corp. v. Rylander, et al	31
Garza, Lawrence v. Sharp, et al	33
General Dynamics Corp. v. Rylander, et al	33
General Dynamics Corp. v. Rylander, et al	33
Gift Box Corp. of America, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	34
Gilani, Fred v. Progressive Amusement, Inc., Craig Byler and Comptroller	89
Glen Rose ISD v. Comptroller	89
Graybar Electric Co., Inc. v. Sharp, et al	34
Greenville ISD v. Comptroller	
Grocers Supply-Institutional-Convenience, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	35
H.J. Wilson Co., Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	35
Harris County, et al. v. John W. Adams, et al	90

Herndon Marine Products, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	35
Hines Interests Limited Partnership v. Rylander, et al	105
Hollon Oil Co. v. Strayhorn, et al	36
Home Interiors & Gifts, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	7
House of Lloyd, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	106
Huntsville ISD v. Comptroller	
Inland Truck Parts Co. v. Strayhorn, et al	8
Inova Diagnostics, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	106
Inova Diagnostics, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	8
J.C. Penney Co., Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	37
JBI, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	36
JBS Packing Co., Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	37
Jerman Cookie Co. v. Rylander, et al	37
JHS Enterprises, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	107
John Hancock Mutual Life Insurance Co., The v. Rylander, et al	107
Kennedy, Gary G. dba Kennedy's Korner v. Rylander, et al	38
Kroger Co., The v. Strayhorn, et al	38
LabOne, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	39
Lake Austin Spa Investors, Ltd. v. Rylander, et al	91
Laredo Coca-Cola Bottling Co., and Coca-Cola Enterprises, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	
Laredo Coca-Cola Bottling Co., and Coca-Cola Enterprises, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	40
Laredo Country Club, Inc., A Texas Corp. v. Sharp, et al	41
Laredo Pizza, Inc., and Samuel L. Alford, and L & H Pacific, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al	41
Lebaron Hotel Corp., dba The Lebaron Hotel v. Sharp, et al	107
Lee Construction and Maintenance Co. v. Rylander, et al	41
Levy, Tara, et al. v. OfficeMax, Inc. and Best Buy Stores, L.P	42
Lexington Insurance Co., Landmark Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al	76
Liaison Resources, L.P., and David S. Claunch v. Rylander, et al	42
Local Neon Co., Inc. v. Rylander, et al	43
Lockheed Corp. v. Rylander, et al	44
Lockheed Martin Corp. v. Strayhorn, et al	45
Lockheed Martin Corp., as Successor to Lockheed Martin Vought Systems Corp. and Loral Vo	ought
Systems Corp. v. Rylander, et al	43
Lockheed Martin Corp., Successor to Lockheed Martin Vought Systems Corp. v. Rylander,	
et al	44
Lockheed Martin Corp. v. Rylander, et al	44
Lockheed Martin Kelly Aviation Center, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	45
LTV Aerospace & Defense Co. v. Rylander, et al	39
Lubbock-Cooper ISD v. Comptroller	
Lynch, Michael J. II, Assignee of Estrella Sola, Inc. v. Strayhorn	
Marathon ISD v. Stravhorn	

Marfa ISD v. Comptroller	
Mars, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	46
May Department Stores Co., The v. Strayhorn, et al	47
McLane Co., Inc. and McLane Foodservice-Lubbock, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	92
Medaphis Physicians Services Corp. v. Sharp, et al	48
Metropolitan Life Insurance Co., et al. v. A.W. Pogue, et al	77
Metropolitan Life Insurance Co., et al. v. A.W. Pogue, et al	77
MFC Finance Co. of Texas v. Rylander, et al	91
MG Building Materials, Ltd. v. Strayhorn, et al	46
Mineral Wells ISD v. Comptroller	109
Mirage Real Estate, Inc., et al. v. Richard Durbin, et al	93
Mitchell, Christia Parr v. Rylander, et al	48
Nachhattar Tejpal Legha Enterprises, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	48
National Business Furniture, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	109
Neiman Marcus Group, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	49
Neiman Marcus Group, Inc., The v. Rylander, et al	49
North American Intelecom, Inc., et al. v. Sharp, et al	50
North Texas Asset Management, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	50
Northrop Grumman Systems Corp. (Successor to Northrop Grumman Corp. and Vought	
Aircraft Co.) v. Rylander, et al	51
Old Republic National Title Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al	78
Old Republic Title Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al	78
Petro Express Management, L.L.C. v. Rylander, et al	93
Petrolite Corp. v. Sharp, et al	51
Point Isabel ISD v. Comptroller	110
Praxair, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	111
Praxair, Inc. v. Sharp, et al.	110
Presidio ISD v. Comptroller	111
Preston Motors by George L. Preston, Owner v. Sharp, et al	93
Quinlan ISD v. Strayhorn	94
R Communications, Inc. fka RN Communications, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	111
R.G.V. Vending, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	112
Rahmes, Todd W., Individually and on Behalf of All Similarly Situated Consumers v.	
Louis Shanks of Texas, Inc., Strayhorn, et al	112
RAI Credit Corp. v. Rylander, et al	51
Randall's Food & Drugs, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	9
Ranger Fuels & Maintenance , L.L.C. v. Rylander, et al	94
Ranger Fuels & Maintenance, Inc., LLC v. Alon USA, LP	95
Raytheon Co. and Daimlerchrysler Corp. as Successors to Central Texas Airborne Systems,	
Inc., fka Chrysler Technologies Airborne Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	53
Raytheon Co., as Successor to Raytheon E-Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	55

Raytheon Co., as Successor in Interest to Raytheon Training, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	53
Raytheon Co., as Successor to Raytheon E-Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	55
Raytheon Co., as Successor to Raytheon TI Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	
Raytheon Co. v. Strayhorn, et al	54
Raytheon E-Systems, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	52
Reliant Energy Corp. (formerly Houston Industries, Inc.) v. Rylander, et al	
Reliant Energy Gas Transmission Co., fka Noram Gas Transmission Co. v. Rylander, et al	
Reynolds Metals Co. v. Strayhorn, et al	56
Roadway Express, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	57
Robbins & Myers, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	57
Robinson, Barbara Cooke, Estate of v. Strayhorn, et al	
Rockwell Collins, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	
Rollins & Rollins Enterprises, Inc., dba Country Kwik Stop v. Rylander, et al	58
Sabine Mining Co., The v. Strayhorn, et al	
San Antonio Spurs, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al	59
San Vicente ISD v. Strayhorn	
Schoenborn & Doll Enterprises, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	59
Security National Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al	
Service Merchandise Co., Inc. v. Sharp, et al	
Sharper Image Corp. v. Rylander, et al	61
Sharper Image Corp. v. Rylander, et al	
Shelton, James M., Estate of, Deceased, and Carroll A. Maxon, Independent Co-Executor	
v. Rylander, et al	113
Southern Sandblasting and Coatings, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	61
Southern Union Co. v. Rylander, et al	
Southwest Food Processing & Refrigerated Services, aka Southwest Refrigerated	
Warehousing Services v. Rylander, et al	62
Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P. v. Strayhorn, et al	62
Southwestern Bell Telephone Co. v. Rylander, et al	10
Sprint International Communications, Inc. v. Sharp, et al	63
St. Paul Surplus Lines Co. v. Rylander, et al.	
State Farm Fire and Casualty Co. and State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Co. v.	
Strayhorn, et al	96
Steamatic of Austin, Inc., et al. v. Rylander, et al	63
Stephenville ISD v. Comptroller	113
Stephenville ISD v. Comptroller	
STP Nuclear Operating Co. v. Strayhorn, et al	
Strattec Security Corp. v. Strayhorn, et al	
Summit Photographix, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	
Sysco Food Services of Houston, L.P. (fka Sysco Food Services of Houston, Inc.) v.	
Strayhorn, et al.	65

Sysco Food Services of Austin, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	64
Sysco Food Services of Houston, L.P. (fka Sysco Food Service of Houston, Inc.) v.	
Rylander, et al	65
Tarkington ISD v. Comptroller	114
TCCT Real Estate, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	65
TCCT Real Estate, Inc. as Successor to TCC Austin Industrial Overhead v. Rylander, et al	66
TDI-Halter, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	66
Telecable Associates, Inc.; Teleservice Corp. of America; Texas Telecable, Inc.; TCA	
Cable of Amarillo, Inc.; and Texas Community Antennas, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	67
Terlingua Common ISD v. Comptroller	96
Texaco Exploration & Production, Inc	96
Texaco, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	67
Texaco Refining & Marketing (East), Inc. v. Rylander, et al	11
Texas Gulf, Inc. v. Bullock, et al.	
Texas Instruments, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	68
Texas Instruments, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	114
Texas Instruments, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	68
That's Entertainment - San Antonio, LLC dba Park Place v. Strayhorn, et al	97
Tyson Foods, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	12
U.S. Home Corp. v. Rylander, et al	116
Union Carbide Corp. v. Rylander, et al	69
United Services Automobile Association & USAA Life Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al	116
United Space Alliance, LLC v. Strayhorn, et al	69
Universe Life Insurance Co. v. State of Texas	80
Universe Life Insurance Co., The v. Cornyn, et al.	81
USA Waste Services of Houston, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	115
Val-Pak Franchise Operations, Inc. dba Valpak of Houston v. Strayhorn, et al	70
Viacom International, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	
Warranty Underwriters Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al	81
West Texas Pizza, Limited Partnership v. Sharp, et al	70
Westcott Communications, Inc., Law Enforcement Television Network, Inc., Westcott	
ECI, Inc. and TI-IN Acquisition Corp. v. Sharp, et al.	117
White Swan, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	
Williams, Duane Everett v. Comptroller	71
Willow Creek Resources, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	97
World Fitness Centers, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	
Yantis ISD v. Comptroller	
Zale Delaware, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al	72
Zale Delaware, Inc. v. Rylander, et al	72

Franchise Tax

Anderson-Clayton Bros. Funeral Home, Inc.; Restland of Dallas, Inc.; Restland Funeral Home; Singing Hills Funeral Homes, Inc.; Laurel Land Funeral Home of Fort Worth, Inc.; Blue Bonnet Hills Funeral Home, Inc.; and Blue Bonnet Hills Memorial Park, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-12183

#03-03-00458-CV AG Case #991227646

Franchise Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Filed: 10/18/99

Period: 1993-1996 Plaintiff's Counsel: Jan Soifer

Amount: \$407,212.91 Brim, Arnett, Soifer, \$107,861.97 Robinett, Hanner &

Connors Austin

Susan A. Kidwell Locke, Liddell & Sapp

Austin

Issue: Whether income earned on Plaintiff's trust accounts for prepaid funeral services gives rise to Texas gross receipts.

Status: Motion for Summary Judgment held 04/10/03; granted in favor of the State 06/24/03. Plaintiff's Notice of Appeal filed 07/31/03. Appellants' brief filed 09/18/03. Appellees' brief filed 10/24/03. Appellants' reply brief filed 11/12/03. Oral Argument completed 01/07/04. Appellees' post-submission brief filed 01/22/04. Appellants' reply brief filed 02/06/04. Opinion issued 08/12/04 in favor of Appellee affirming the district court's judgment. Motion for Rehearing filed 10/01/04.

CTX Mortgage Co., LLC, as Successor in Interest to CTX Mortgage Co., Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN300145

AG Case #031738131

Franchise Tax; Protest, Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Refund & Declaratory

Judgment Plaintiff's Counsel: David Cowling Filed: 01/15/03 Robert Lochridge

Period: 1992-1994 Jones Day Amount: \$6,482.90 Dallas Issue: Whether application of the requirement of documentation that officers do not participate in significant policy-making aspects of the corporation is retroactive and unconstitutional. Whether different treatment of banks and mortgage companies violates equal protection. Whether Plaintiff's vice presidents and others should not be included in the officer add-back provision of the franchise tax. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Centex Construction Co., Inc., as Successor in Interest to Centex Bateson Construction Co., Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN301292

AG Case #031787153

Franchise Tax; Protest, Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Refund & Declaratory

Judgment Plaintiff's Counsel: David Cowling Filed: 04/23/03 Robert Lochridge

Period: 1992-1995 Jones Day Amount: \$191,167.76 Dallas

Issue: Whether the Comptroller's add-back of compensation to certain officers and directors included persons who lacked significant policy-making authority and was unconstitutional. Whether the Comptroller improperly applied changes in Rule 3.558 to earlier periods. Whether the officer add-back is arbitrary and discriminatory. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Centex Construction Co., Inc., as Successor in Interest to Centex Bateson Construction Co., Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN301293

AG Case #031787161

Franchise Tax; Protest, Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Refund & Declaratory

Judgment Plaintiff's Counsel: David Cowling Filed: 04/23/03 Robert Lochridge

Period: 1996 Jones Day Amount: \$48,729.67 Dallas Issue: Whether the Comptroller's add-back of compensation to certain officers and directors included persons who lacked significant policy-making authority and was unconstitutional. Whether the Comptroller improperly applied changes in Rule 3.558 to earlier periods. Whether the officer add-back is arbitrary and discriminatory. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Centex International, Inc., as Successor in Interest to 2728 Holding Corp., as Successor in Interest to Centex Real Estate Corp. v Strayhorn, et al. Cause

#GN400903

AG Case #041941147

Franchise Tax; Protest & Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 03/17/04 Plaintiff's Counsel: David Cowling

Period: 1992-1995 Gregg Perry Amount: \$634,494.07 Robert Lochridge

> Jones Day Dallas

Issue: Whether the Comptroller's add-back of compensation to certain officers and directors included persons who lacked significant policy-making authority and was unconstitutional. Whether the Comptroller improperly applied changes in Rule 3.558 to earlier periods. Whether the officer add-back is arbitrary and discriminatory. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Centex Materials, L.P., As Successor in Interest to Centex Materials, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN301277

AG Case #031787146

Franchise Tax; Protest & Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Declaratory Judgment

Amount: \$96,248.92

Filed: 04/22/03 Plaintiff's Counsel: David Cowling

Period: 1997-2000 Robert Lochridge

Jones Day Dallas Issue: Whether the Comptroller's add-back of compensation to certain officers and directors included persons who lacked significant policy-making authority and was unconstitutional. Whether the Comptroller improperly applied changes in Rule 3.558 to earlier periods. Whether the officer add-back is arbitrary and discriminatory. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Central Telephone Co. of Texas and United Telephone Co. of Texas v. Rylander,

et al. Cause #GN100332 AG Case #011409646

Franchise Tax; Protest & Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Refund

Filed: 02/01/01 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman Period: 1988-1994 Ray Langenberg Amount: \$300,772.95 Scott, Douglass &

\$204,616.25 McConnico

Austin

Issue: Whether inclusion of access charges in Texas' gross receipts violates Comptroller rules on franchise tax treatment of interstate telephone receipts. Whether inclusion of the charges violates equal protection.

Status: Answer filed.

Chevron USA, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN401579

AG Case #041972456

Franchise Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Filed: 05/17/04

Period: 1987-1999 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman Amount: \$44,063,913.00 Ray Langenberg

R. Eric Hagenswold Scott, Douglass &

McConnico Austin Issue: Whether Plaintiff may compute surplus using an alternative GAAP method of calculating impairment. Whether Plaintiff may use business loss carry-forward as a deduction to taxable earned surplus. Whether the Comptroller incorrectly calculated Plaintiff's pushdown adjustments. Whether environmental reserves should be calculated as taxable capital surplus. Whether Plaintiff is entitled to the manufacturing credit.

Status: Answer filed.

DaimlerChrysler Services North American, LLC Cause #GN401380

AG Case #041965591

Franchise Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Filed: 04/30/04

Period: 1988 through Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

1991 Ray Langenberg

Amount: \$2,123,382.74 Matthew J. Meese Scott, Douglass &

McConnico Austin

Issue: How should proceeds from the sale of accounts receivables, including retail and wholesale, be calculated for franchise tax apportionment purposes. Whether plaintiff's accounts receivables are capital assets or investments. Plaintiff claims that the Comptroller's use of the net gain method instead of the gross receipts method in calculating plaintiff's total gross receipts for franchise tax apportionment purposes violates the Texas Tax Code, the Comptroller's rules, Comptroller policy, and the constitutional requirements of equal protection and equal and uniform taxation.

Status: Answer filed.

Dillard Department Stores, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN300878

AG Case #031770621

Franchise Tax; Refund & Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 03/19/03 Plaintiff's Counsel: Cynthia M. Ohlenforst

Period: 1992-1995 Tracy D. Eaton

Amount: \$1,646,637 Dallas

Issue: Whether the franchise tax requirement to add back officer and director compensation to the tax base is an unconstitutional tax on the income of natural persons. Whether the shareholder limit for the add-back is arbitrary, unreasonable and discriminatory. Whether the provision also discriminates unconstitutionally between banks and other corporations and should be limited to officers with significant authority.

Status: Answer filed.

El Paso Corp. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN304213

AG Case #031879356

Franchise Tax; Protest & Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Refund

Filed: 10/28/03 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman Period: 1999 - 2001 Ray Langenberg Amount: \$2,278,308.75 Scott, Douglass &

McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether severance pay and merger expenses were improperly included in Plaintiff's apportionment factor. Whether other income was improperly sourced or included. Whether certain deductions were erroneously disallowed. Plaintiff also seeks waiver of all penalty and interest.

Status: Answer filed.

El Paso Natural Gas Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN301003

AG Case #031778939

Franchise Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Filed: 03/28/03

Amount: \$3,000,000

Period: 1989-1991 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

Ray Langenberg Scott, Douglass & McConnico

Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff may use the successful efforts method of accounting. Whether revenue should be recognized when it is billed rather than when it is booked. Whether unamortized loss on reacquired debt may be expensed. Whether certain accounts should be removed from surplus because they had zero balances. Whether Plaintiff's apportionment factor should be reduced for receipts from gas not picked up or delivered in Texas.

Status: Discovery in progress. Summary Judgment hearing held 08/24/04; taken under advisement. Both motions granted in part and denied in part.

First Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN200229

AG Case #021556980

Franchise Tax: Refund & Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Declaratory Judgment

James F. Martens Filed: 01/24/02 Plaintiff's Counsel:

Christina A. Mondrik Period: 1996 through James F. Martens & 1999

Associates Amount: \$1,919,109 Austin

Issue: Whether the throwback rule is unconstitutional and violates P.L. 86-272. Whether apportionment under the throwback rule, when compared to a separate accounting method, creates such a gross disparity in taxable income as to be unconstitutional. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory judgment and attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery suspended.

Home Interiors & Gifts, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN303185

#03-04-00660-CV AG Case #031842420

Franchise Tax: Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Filed: 08/25/03

Period: 1992-1999 Plaintiff's Counsel: Daniel L. Butcher

Amount: \$16,085,391.00 Strasburger & Price

Dallas

Farley P. Katz Strasburger & Price

San Antonio

Issue: Whether the Texas throwback provision, Tax Code §171.1032, is unconstitutional in violation of the Due Process, Commerce, Supremacy, and Equal Protection Clauses.

Status: Hearing on Cross-Motions for Summary Judgment held 09/21/04. Court granted Defendants' MSJ 09/30/04. Notice of Appeal filed 10/20/04. Clerk's Record filed 11/22/04. Appellant's brief due 12/22/04.

Inland Truck Parts Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN302603

AG Case #031831746

Franchise Tax; Refund & Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 07/24/03 Plaintiff's Counsel: Cynthia M. Ohlenforst

Period: 1999 G. James Landon Amount: \$47,775.25 J. Blake Rice

Hughes & Luce

Dallas

Issue: Whether an S corporation owned by an ESOP owes franchise tax when the shareholder has no income reportable to the IRS as taxable.

Status: Answer filed.

Inova Diagnostics, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN302862

#03-04-00503-CV AG Case #031836471

Franchise Tax; Protest & Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 08/11/03 Plaintiff's Counsel: Gilbert J. Bernal, Jr.

Period: 1999 through Stahl & Bernal

2003 Austin

Amount: \$4,658

Issue: Whether taxpayer has nexus with Texas. Whether the capital- based franchise tax is measured by net income for purposes of P.L. 86-272. Whether the Comptroller wrongfully forfeited plaintiff's corporate privileges. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Non-jury trial held 07/13/04 and Judgment granted for State. Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law filed 07/21/04. Notice of Appeal filed 08/16/04. Appellant's brief due 12/29/04.

Randall's Food & Drugs, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN003174

AG Case #001375450

Franchise Tax; Protest & Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Refund

Filed: 10/31/00 Plaintiff's Counsel: Jasper G. Taylor, III

Period: 1994-1997 Jay M. Chadha

Amount: \$4,006,942.39 Fulbright & Jaworski

Houston

Issue: Whether the Comptroller's Rule 3.555(g)(3), which denies a carry forward of business losses of a merged corporation by the surviving corporation, is an unconstitutional retroactive law or a violation of Texas and Delaware statutes on mergers. Whether compensation of officers and directors should have been added back to Plaintiff's income and whether doing so violates constitutional equal taxation requirements. Whether some receipts were incorrectly treated as Texas receipts. Whether surplus calculation by the Comptroller should have excluded increases from push-down accounting. Whether failure to waive penalties and interest was arbitrary. Whether the audit has calculation errors. Whether the Comptroller's determination and decision violate equal protection, due process, and other constitutional provisions.

Status: Discovery in progress. Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment denied. Non-jury trial set 12/13/04.

Reliant Energy Corp. (formerly Houston Industries, Inc.) v. Rylander, et al.

Cause #GN103935 AG Case #011532348

Franchise Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Filed: 11/28/01

Period: 1998 Plaintiff's Counsel: L.G. Skip Smith

Amount: \$2,581,013.52 David H. Gilliland

Clark, Thomas & Winters

Austin

Issue: Whether plaintiff may use business loss carry- forward from non-surviving corporation in merger to reduce its franchise tax.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Reliant Energy Gas Transmission Co., fka Noram Gas Transmission Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-08127

AG Case #991187675

Franchise Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Filed: 07/15/99

Period: 1996 Plaintiff's Counsel: L.G. Skip Smith
Amount: \$163,758.10 David H. Gilliland

Clark, Thomas & Winters

Austin

Issue: Whether a business loss carry-forward of a merged corporation may be used to reduce the surviving corporation's franchise tax.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Southern Union Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN003692

AG Case #011399409

Franchise Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Filed: 12/29/00

Period: 1994 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman Amount: \$549,983 Ray Langenberg

Eric Hagenswold
Scott, Douglass &
McConnico
Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff was required to use historical cost as the basis of assets of an acquired corporation. Whether post-retirement benefit obligations are debt. Whether disallowing deduction of post-retirement benefits violates equal protection. Whether Plaintiff may use another method to account for depreciation.

Status: Settled.

Southwestern Bell Telephone Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN204559

AG Case #031730666

Franchise Tax; Protest & Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Refund

Filed: 12/20/02 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman Period: 1996-1999; Ray Langenberg 2001 Scott, Douglass &

Amount: \$25,000,000.00 McConnico

Austin

Issue: Whether interstate access revenues are Texas receipts for franchise tax purposes. Whether treating the revenues as Texas receipts violates the Comptroller's Rule on interstate calls and the due process, equal protection and commerce clauses of the Constitution. Whether other interstate call revenues in border areas are not Texas receipts.

Status: First Amended Original Petition adding 2001 final report filed.

Strattec Security Corp. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN401136

AG Case #041954496

Franchise Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Filed: 04/08/04

Period: 07/03/95- Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman 06/29/99 Ray Langenberg Scott, Douglass &

McConnico

Issue: Whether gross receipts from the sales of locksets are Texas receipts. Whether the throwback rule was applied to Plaintiff's receipts. Plaintiff claims violation of the commerce clause.

Status: Discovery in progress. Non-jury trial set 03/07/05.

Texaco Refining & Marketing (East), Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-14555

AG Case #991249228

Franchise Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Filed: 12/15/99

Period: 1994 Plaintiff's Counsel: Ray Langenberg
Amount: \$1,028,616.15 Scott, Douglass &

Scott, Douglass & McConnico

Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to a franchise tax credit for sales tax on manufacturing equipment purchased by a joint venture that it co-owned.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Tyson Foods, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN302279

AG Case #031818966

Franchise Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Filed: 06/27/03

Period: 1992-1997 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman Amount: \$4,462,424.56 Ray Langenberg

Ray Langenberg Scott, Douglass &

> McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff must use accelerated or straight line depreciation. Whether penalty and interest should have been waived because Plaintiff's affiliates had overpayments during the audit period that could have been credited to Plaintiff's deficiencies.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Viacom International, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN402433

AG Case #041999269

Franchise Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Filed: 07/30/04

Period: 1997-1999 Plaintiff's Counsel: David H. Gilliland

Amount: \$754,178.16 Clark, Thomas & Winters

Austin

Issue: Whether revenue received from third-party cable television system operators is revenue earned from licensing or from the service of producing, creating, editing, packaging and transmitting 24-hour-per day network programming performed out-of-state. Should revenue from providing these services be considered Texas receipts for franchise tax purposes. Plaintiff also claims violation of due process and the Commerce Clause.

Status: Answer filed.

Sales Tax

7-Eleven, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN403369

AG Case #042046367

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Jeff Mullins

Filed: 10/08/04

Period: 04/01/93- Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman 09/30/96 Ray Langenberg

Amount: \$299,328.98 Scott, Douglass &

McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether the purchase of bookkeeping software installed on computers located outof-state and subsequently shipped to stores in-state qualifies for the sale for resale exemption.

Status: Discovery in progress.

AccuTel of Texas, L.P. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN300091

AG Case #031735236

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Natalie McLemore

Filed: 01/10/03

Period: 06/01/97- Plaintiff's Counsel: Christopher Malish 11/30/00 Foster & Malish

Amount: \$45,658.15 Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff should have been assessed interest and penalty.

Status: Answer filed.

Advanta Business Services Corp. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN103463

AG Case #011514544

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Filed: 10/19/01

Period: 11/01/92- Plaintiff's Counsel: W. Stephen Benesh

12/31/97 Deanna E. King

Amount: \$929,964.11 Bracewell & Patterson

Austin

Issue: Whether plaintiff's leases were financing leases and not taxable operating leases under Comptroller Rule 3.294(i). Whether the Comptroller's sample was flawed. Alternatively, whether penalty and interest should have been waived.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Alpine Industries, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #98-12998

#03-03-0643-CV #04-0785 AG Case #981080526

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Filed: 11/20/98

Period: 1994-1998 Plaintiff's Counsel: Stephen D. Good Amount: \$31.128.62 Gregory A. Harwe

Gregory A. Harwell Gardere & Wynne

Dallas

Issue: Whether Alpine may be regarded as a seller for direct sales made in Texas by independent dealers and whether holding Alpine liable for sales tax violates the commerce clause, due process or equal protection.

Status: Trial set 07/28/03. Summary Judgment, including counter-claim, granted for Comptroller 07/18/03. Final judgment entered 08/15/03. Motion for new trial filed 08/18/03. Plaintiff's Notice of Appeal filed 10/20/03. Appellant's brief filed 02/02/04. Appellees' brief filed 04/02/04. Oral Argument held 04/14/04. Third COA affirmed District Court's Judgment 07/15/04. Petition for Review filed in Tx. Supreme Court 09/29/04. Response filed 11/18/04.

Amerada Hess Corp. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN402614

AG Case #042005314

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Filed: 08/13/04

Period: 01/01/90- Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

12/31/95 Ray Langenberg Amount: \$44,500.00 Scott, Douglass &

> McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether submersible pumps, motors, separators, couplings and related down hole equipment are exempt from sales tax under the manufacturing exemption. Whether certain benefits of a membership fee cause the fee to be taxable.

Status: Answer filed.

American Oil Change Corp. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-06374

AG Case #991175084

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Filed: 06/03/99

Period: 1992-1993 Plaintiff's Counsel: Gilbert J. Bernal, Jr.
Amount: \$467.142.31 Stahl & Bernal

Stail & Delli

Austin

Issue: Whether materials are provided by Plaintiff to its customers in the course of its motor vehicle repairs under lump sum contracts, requiring Plaintiff to pay tax on the cost of materials. If Plaintiff's contracts are lump sum, whether Plaintiff is entitled to credit for tax collected from its customers and remitted to the Comptroller. Whether software services are taxable when the seller of the services contributes rather than sells the software itself. Whether software services are exempt under §151.346 as sales between affiliated entities of previously exempt services. Whether interest should have been waived. Whether any of the above issues result in a denial of equal protection, equal and uniform taxation or due process under the federal and state constitutions.

Status: Discovery in progress. Mediation held 10/15/02. Trial postponed. Settlement negotiations in progress.

Anderson Merchandisers Holding, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN400421 AG Case #041921966

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Natalie McLemore

Filed: 02/11/04

Period: 07/01/94- Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

03/31/98 Doug Sigel

Amount: \$28,353.00 Scott, Douglass &

McConnico

Austin

Issue: Whether industrial solid waste removal is exempt as a real property service.

Status: Answer filed.

Apollo Paint & Body Shop, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN300886

AG Case #031770605

Sales Tax; Protest & Jim Cloudt Asst. AAG Assigned:

Refund

Filed: 03/19/03 Plaintiff's Counsel: Tom Tourtellotte Period: 10/01/91-Hance Scarborough 09/30/98

Wright Woodward &

Weisbart Amount: \$285,284.13

Austin

Issue: Whether plaintiff performed its repairs under lump-sum contracts. Plaintiff also challenges the constitutionality of Rider 11.

Status: Discovery in progress. Trial setting of 09/20/04 passed by agreement.

Aramis Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #98-03527

AG Case #98930349

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Filed: 04/03/98

Period: 04/01/90-Plaintiff's Counsel: David E. Cowling 03/31/94 Jones, Day, Reavis &

Amount: \$291,196 Pogue

Dallas

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Aramis Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #0000384

AG Case #001273051

Sales Tax: Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Filed: 02/11/00

Period: 04/01/94-Plaintiff's Counsel: David E. Cowling

12/31/97 Robert Lochridge

Amount: \$281,676.36 Jones, Day, Reavis &

> Pogue Dallas

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed. Whether Rule 3.346(b)(3)(A) is invalid and whether the Comptroller has authority to change its long-standing policy. Alternatively, whether penalty should be waived.

Status: Discovery in progress.

B&B Gravel Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN302323

AG Case #031831712

Sales Tax; Administrative Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Appeal

Filed: 07/01/03 Plaintiff's Counsel: Richard S. Browne Period: George D. Gordon Amount: \$ Baggett, Gordon &

Deison Conroe

Issue: Plaintiff claims that the liability assessed is inconsistent with the ALJ's decision and seeks review under the APA.

Status: Discovery in progress. To be dismissed.

BGK Operating Co., Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN301224

AG Case #031786478

Sales Tax; Protest & Asst. AAG Assigned: Jeff Mullins

Refund

Filed: 04/17/03 Plaintiff's Counsel: Kal Malik

Period: 01/01/99- Robert N. LeMay

07/31/02 Kane, Russell, Coleman

Amount: \$28,407.44 & Logan

Dallas

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is a lump-sum repairer of motor vehicles who should have paid tax on its purchases of oil and filters. Whether charging tax to the Plaintiff results in unconstitutional double taxation.

Status: Discovery in progress. Plaintiff has made a settlement offer.

Baldry, Ann dba Annie's Housekeeping Services v. Sharp, et al. Cause #95-02389

AG Case #95234990

Sales Tax; Declaratory Asst. AAG Assigned: Jeff Mullins

Judgment

Filed: 2/27/95 Plaintiff's Counsel: Timothy M. Trickey
Period: 04/01/88- The Trickey Law Firm

06/30/92 Austin

Amount: \$63,588

Issue: Whether sales tax is due on maid services provided by maids placed by Plaintiff's service but acting as independent contractors. Also, whether Plaintiff relied, to her detriment, on advice from the Comptroller's Office.

Status: Discovery in progress. Trial to be set before 03/15/05.

Bell Bottom Foundation Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-01092

AG Case #991112186

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Natalie McLemore

Filed: 01/29/99

Period: 01/01/91- Plaintiff's Counsel: Timothy M. Trickey
12/31/94 The Trickey Law Firm

Amount: \$81,571.73 Austin

Issue: Whether taxpayer's sub-contract was a separated contract since the general contractor's construction contract was separated.

Status: Case dismissed for want of prosecution 06/17/03. Motion to Reinstate granted.

Negotiating an Agreed Scheduling Order.

Bell Helicopter Textron, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN200525

AG Case #021567755

Sales Tax; Refund & Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 02/15/02 Plaintiff's Counsel: Gilbert J. Bernal, Jr.

Period: 01/01/90- Stahl & Bernal

06/30/93 Austin

07/01/93-06/30/97 Amount: \$7,280,079 Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees and a declaration that the Comptroller disregarded controlling federal law, violated equal protection or imposed tax on the U.S. government.

Status: Answer filed.

Bell Helicopter Textron, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN204437

AG Case #041927062

Sales Tax; Refund & Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 12/11/02 Plaintiff's Counsel: Gilbert J. Bernal, Jr.

Period: 07/01/97- Stahl & Bernal

05/31/02 Austin

Amount: \$3,000,000

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees and a declaration that the Comptroller disregarded controlling federal law, violated equal protection or imposed tax on the U.S. government. Plaintiff also seeks recovery of attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Blue Cross & Blue Shield of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN401955

AG Case #041988023

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Filed: 06/21/04

Period: 12/01/88- Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

05/31/95 Ray Langenberg

Amount: \$3,750,000.00 Doug Sigel

Scott, Douglass & McConnico

Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*.

Status: Answer filed.

Boeing North America, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN203340

AG Case #021676804

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Filed: 09/13/02

Period: 01/01/95- Plaintiff's Counsel: David H. Gilliland

12/31/96 Clark, Thomas & Winters

Amount: \$343,487 Austin

Issue: Plaintiff claims a sale for resale exemption on items resold to the federal government. Plaintiff also claims a denial of equal protection and an exemption under §151.3111.

Status: Answer filed.

Boeing North America, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN304372

AG Case #031884471

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Filed: 11/10/03

Period: 01/01/95- Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

12/31/99 Ray Langenberg
Amount: \$500,000

Amount: \$500,000 Doug Sigel
Scott, Douglass &

McConnico

Austin

Issue: Plaintiff claims a sale for resale exemption on items resold to the federal government. Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*.

Bonart, Richard C., DVM v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN400552

AG Case #041928532

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Natalie McLemore

Filed: 02/20/04

Period: 01/01/02- Plaintiff's Counsel: Richard C. Bonart (Pro

12/31/02 Se) Amount: \$50.00 El Paso

Issue: Whether microchips implanted in animals are exempt as health care supplies and as a therapeutic appliance or device. Plaintiff also claims a denial of equal and uniform protection.

Status: Answer filed.

Broadcast Satellite International, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN103568

AG Case #011518479

Sales Tax; Declaratory Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Judgment, Refund &

Protest Plaintiff's Counsel: William E. Bailey

Filed: 10/26/01 Dallas

Period: 01/01/91-

12/31/97

Amount: \$200,000

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's broadcast services are non-taxable information services under §151.0038(a). Whether Plaintiff's services are not taxable telecommunications services under §151.0103(l) or data processing under §151.0035. Whether the sale or use of Plaintiff's services occurred out-of-state. Whether Plaintiff's experts demonstrated that Plaintiff is exempt under federal law. Plaintiff asserts limitations as to part of the liability and also seeks attorneys' fees.

C & T Stone Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN002428

AG Case #001344233

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Filed: 08/18/00

Period: 04/01/94- Plaintiff's Counsel: William T. Peckham

12/31/97 Austin

Amount: \$207,454.40

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes sales tax on its sales of limestone to third parties under §151.311(a). Whether Plaintiff detrimentally relied on advice from the Comptroller's Office. Whether exemption certificates covered some sales that were assessed tax. Whether Plaintiff is entitled to the manufacturing exemption under §151.318(g). Whether penalty and interest should be waived.

Status: Discovery in progress. Trial set 03/07/05.

Central Power & Light Co. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #96-11455

AG Case #96602037

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Jeff Mullins

Filed: 09/20/96

Period: 07/01/86- Plaintiff's Counsel: L.G. Skip Smith

12/31/89 Clark, Thomas & Winters

Amount: \$32,788 Austin

Issue: Whether utility pole replacement services are non-taxable maintenance or taxable

repair labor.

Status: Discovery in progress. Settlement negotiations in progress.

Chapal Zenray, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN204506

AG Case #031729197

Sales Tax; Protest & Asst. AAG Assigned: Jeff Mullins

Refund

Filed: 12/16/02 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

Period: 01/01/94Ray Langenberg
12/31/97
Curtis J. Osterloh

Amount: \$210,943.91 Scott, Douglass &

McConnico Austin Issue: Whether items such as boxes, foam pads and twist ties are not subject to tax pursuant to Tex. Tax Code §151.011 (f)(2) and Rule 3.346 (c)(l)(c) when purchased by a person who uses the items to secure jewelry for shipment out-of-state.

Status: Discovery in progress. Plaintiff to submit Motion for Summary Judgment.

Chaparral Steel Co. and Chaparral Steel Midlothian, L.P. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause #GN403208 AG Case #042040154

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Filed: 09/27/04

Period: 10/01/93- Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

02/28/97 Ray Langenberg 03/01/97-10/31/97 Doug Sigel

Amount: \$569,549.24 Scott, Douglass &

McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether the following items and services are exempt from sales tax: certain property Plaintiff purchased and used or consumed during manufacturing; certain services performed on exempt property; third party installation services; contracted services by the Plaintiff; wrapping and packaging used to complete the manufacturing process; maintenance on real property; items with a useful life of six months or less; and items used and consumed in manufacturing.

Status: Answer filed.

Chevron Pipe Line Co. and West Texas Gulf Pipe Line Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause #GN304712 AG Case #031899016

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Filed: 12/12/03

Period: 07/01/91- Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

09/30/97 Ray Langenberg
01/01/92-09/30/97 Matthew J. Meese

Amount: \$683,979.99 Scott, Douglass & \$220,773.61 McConnico

Austin

Issue: Whether installation of cathodic protection devices was new construction or maintenance. Whether excavation and back-filling were non-taxable unrelated services. Whether pipe replacement and recoating was non-taxable maintenance.

Status: Trial set 01/20/05.

Church & Dwight Co., Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN000525

AG Case #001258201

Blake Hawthorne Sales Tax: Refund Asst. AAG Assigned:

Filed: 01/12/00

Period: 10/01/90-Plaintiff's Counsel: Robert C. Alden

12/31/93 Phillip L. Sampson, Jr.

Bracewell & Patterson Amount: \$64,868.50

Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes use tax on promotional materials shipped from out-of-state. Whether the Comptroller's imposition of use tax is invalid because Plaintiff made no use of the materials in Texas. Whether Rule 3.346(b)(3)(A) is invalid. Whether the tax violates the Commerce and Due Process Clauses of the United States Constitution.

Status: Answer filed.

Clinique Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #98-03533

AG Case #98930330

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Filed: 04/03/98

Period: 04/01/90-Plaintiff's Counsel: David E. Cowling

03/31/94 Jones, Day, Reavis &

Amount: \$519,192 Pogue

Dallas

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed.

Status: Discovery in progress. Non-jury trial to be set prior to 11/22/04.

Clinique Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN000376

AG Case #001273069

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Filed: 02/11/00

Period: 04/01/94- Plaintiff's Counsel: David E. Cowling

03/31/98 Robert Lochridge Amount: \$650,361.82 Jones, Day, Reavis &

> Pogue Dallas

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed. Whether Rule 3.346(b)(3)(A) is invalid and whether the Comptroller has authority to change its long-standing policy. Alternatively, whether penalty should be waived.

Status: Discovery in progress. Non-jury trial to be set prior to 11/22/04.

Coastal Refining & Marketing, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #98-03540

AG Case #98930321

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Filed: 04/03/98

Period: 01/01/89- Plaintiff's Counsel: Jasper G. Taylor, III

06/30/89 Fulbright & Jaworski

07/01/89-12/31/91 Houston

Amount: \$1,635,965

Joe W. Cox Coastal States Management Corp.

Houston

Issue: Whether certain work performed by Plaintiff is new construction under a lump sum contract and thus not taxable.

Status: Discovery in progress. Plaintiff has submitted settlement offer.

Cosmair, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN302009

AG Case #031816135

Sales Tax; Protest, Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Refund & Declaratory

Plaintiff's Counsel: **Judgment David Cowling** Filed: 06/09/03 Robert Lochridge Period: 07/01/96-Gregory E. Perry

12/31/98 Jones Day

Amount: \$1,322,536.67 **Dallas**

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes use tax on items transferred free of charge that are subsequently brought into Texas. Plaintiff specifically challenges whether: 1) "use" includes distribution; 2) use was only out-of-state where control transferred; 3) longstanding policy may be changed; 4) Rule 3.346 does not support tax on promotional materials; 5) use tax applies without title or possession; 6) no consideration for transfer; 7) Rule 3.346(b)(3)(A) is invalid; 8) tax is bared by Commerce, Due Process and Equal Protection Clauses; and 9) resale exemption applies. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Delta Air Lines, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN400439

AG Case #041925868

Sales Tax: Refund Christopher Jackson Asst. AAG Assigned:

Filed: 02/13/04

Period: 02/01/93-Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg

12/31/96

Matthew J. Meese Amount: \$1,642,267.15 Scott, Douglass &

> McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's purchases of janitorial and building maintenance services being resold under a lease agreement are exempt under the sale for resale exemption. Whether Plaintiff's purchases of mechanical maintenance services were exempt as taxable services purchased in the performance of a real property contract for an exempt entity.

Dillard's, Inc., aka Dillard Department Stores, Inc., and Dillard Texas Operating Limited Partnership v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN203937

AG Case #021703947

Sales Tax; Protest & Asst. AAG Assigned: Natalie McLemore

Refund

Filed: 10/30/02 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman Period: 07/01/93- Ray Langenberg

01/31/96 Doug Sigel

02/01/96-11/30/96 Scott, Douglass & Amount: \$1,100,000+ McConnico

Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's sewing machines and other property used to alter clothing qualify for the manufacturing exemption. Whether Plaintiff is entitled to a refund of tax on packaging supplies, non-taxable services, and industrial solid waste disposal. Whether the Comptroller improperly applied a franchise tax credit to the assessed amount.

Status: Consolidation discussions planned.

Dillard's Inc., aka Dillard Department Stores, Inc., and Dillard Texas Operating Limited Partnership v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN304838

AG Case #041904590

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Natalie McLemore

Filed: 12/23/03

Period: 07/01/93- Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

01/31/96 Ray Langenberg 02/01/96-11/30/96 Doug Sigel

Amount: \$1,172,784.29 Scott, Douglass &

McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's sewing machines and other property used to alter clothing qualify for the manufacturing exemption. Whether Plaintiff is entitled to a refund of tax on packaging supplies, non-taxable services, industrial solid waste disposal, and sale for resale items.

Status: Consolidated with *Dillard's Inc.*, *aka Dillard Department Stores, Inc.*, *and Dillard Texas Operating Limited Partnership v. Rylander, et al.*, Cause No. GN203937.

DuPont Photomasks, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN303695

AG Case #031855117

Sales Tax; Protest & Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 09/12/03 Plaintiff's Counsel: Larry F. York
Period: 01/01/96- Susan F. Gusky

10/31/97 York, Keller & Field

Amount: \$299,987.35 Austin

Jennifer K. Patterson

Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's purchase of a cleanroom should have been an exempt sale for resale. Whether the lease of the cleanroom was incidental to the lease of the building in which it was housed and whether Rule 3.294(k)(1) is invalid. Whether the Comptroller's final decision is arbitrary and violates due process, equal and uniform taxation, and equal protection. Whether Rider 11 is unconstitutional as: (1) an amendment to substantive law; (2) a violation of due process, equal protection and open courts; and (3) an unconstitutional taking. Plaintiff seeks attorney's fees and demands a jury trial.

Status: Discovery in progress. Motion for Summary Judgment hearing held 09/23/04. Rule upheld. Both Motions denied. Trial Judgment signed 11/29/04.

E. de la Garza, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN003589

AG Case #0011395316

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Scott Simmons

Filed: 12/15/00

Period: 01/01/93- Plaintiff's Counsel: Rudy de la Garza

12/31/96 Brownsville

Amount: \$83,138.14

Issue: Whether sales of grocery bags and sacks are not taxable when sold to grocery stores who have provided a blanket sale for resale certificate. Plaintiff also complains of audit calculation errors.

Status: Dismissed for Want of Prosecution 06/25/04. Plaintiff filed Motion to Retain 07/08/04. Motion to Reinstate filed 08/29/04; granted 10/04/04. Plaintiff's partial motion for summary hearing held 11/23/04; pending. Defendants' motion for summary judgment hearing set 01/20/05. Trial set 02/14/05.

EFW, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN200906

AG Case #021579578

Sales Tax; Refund & Jim Cloudt Asst. AAG Assigned:

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 03/19/02 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman Period: 04/94-03/31/98 Ray Langenberg Amount: \$123,440.25 Doug Sigel

> Curtis J. Osterloh Scott, Douglass &

McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

ELC Beauty LLC, as Successor-in-Interest to Aramis Services, Inc. v. Rylander,

et al. Cause #GN203514 AG Case #021681226

Sales Tax; Protest & Christopher Jackson Asst. AAG Assigned:

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 09/26/02 Plaintiff's Counsel: **David Cowling** Period: 01/01/98-Robert Lochridge

12/31/00

Gregory E. Perry Amount: \$284,508.69 Jones, Day, Reavis &

> Pogue **Dallas**

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed. Whether Rule 3.346(b)(3)(A) is invalid and whether the Comptroller has authority to change its long-

standing policy. Alternatively, whether penalty should be waived.

Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #98-03525

AG Case #98930358

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Filed: 04/03/98

Period: 01/01/89- Plaintiff's Counsel: David E. Cowling 09/30/92 Jones, Day, Reavis &

Amount: \$472,225 Pogue
Dallas

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered

into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed.

Status: Answer filed.

Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #98-03524

AG Case #98930367

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Filed: 04/03/98

Period: 10/01/92- Plaintiff's Counsel: David E. Cowling 03/31/96 Jones, Day, Reavis &

Amount: \$748,773 Pogue

Dallas

Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed.

Status: Discovery in progress. Non-jury trial to be set prior to 05/23/05.

Estee Lauder Services, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN101312

AG Case #011439874

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Filed: 05/01/01

Period: 04/01/96- Plaintiff's Counsel: David E. Cowling

06/30/99 Robert Lochridge

Amount: \$614,814.78

Jones, Day, Reavis &

Pogue Dallas Issue: Whether written and other promotional materials incurred use tax when delivered into Texas to retailers. Issue of when and where ownership rights existed.

Status: Answer filed.

Ethicon, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN304779

AG Case #041904616

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Natalie McLemore

Filed: 12/18/03

Period: 01/01/96- Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

12/31/99 Doug Sigel

01/01/94-12/31/95 Scott, Douglass & McConnico

Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff leased real property not subject to the sales and use tax.

Status: Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment hearing set 11/04/04 was passed.

Settlement negotiations in progress.

F M Express Food Mart, Inc., and Fouad Hanna Mekdessi v. Rylander, et al.

Cause #GN002724 AG Case #001353960

Sales Tax; Injunction Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Filed: 09/15/00

Period: 12/01/90- Plaintiff's Counsel: Percy L. "Wayne" Isgitt

11/30/97 Houston

Amount: \$360,671.05

Issue: Whether Comptroller's "estimated audit" is invalid. Whether Plaintiffs are entitled to an injunction of collection and of cancellation of their sales tax permits. Whether Tax Code §§112.051, 112.052, 112.101 and 112.108 are unconstitutional violations of the open courts provision. Plaintiffs seek a re-audit and a refund of money paid under protest in excess of the re-audited amount.

Status: Discovery in progress. Plaintiffs currently preparing settlement offer. Summary Judgment hearing set 02/03/05.

FXI Corp. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN102724

AG Case #011492857

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Jeff Mullins

Filed: 08/22/01

Period: 10/01/94- Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman 06/30/98 Ray Langenberg

Amount: \$51,832.31 Eric Hagenswold

Scott, Douglass &

McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's boxes and packing materials are exempt as items shipped out-of-state. Whether denial of the exemption violates equal protection.

Status: Discovery in progress. Hearing on Cross-Motions for Summary Judgment to be set prior to 02/14/05.

Fiesta Texas Theme Park, Ltd. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #98-02407 (Consolidated with *Fiesta Texas Theme Park, Ltd. v. Sharp, et al.* Cause #GN200563)
AG Case #98914152

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Filed: 03/05/98

Period: 10/01/90- Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman 04/30/93 Ray Langenberg

04/30/93 Ray Langenberg Amount: \$328,829 Scott, Douglass &

McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether prizes awarded by Plaintiff to successful contestants of coin-operated as well as non-coin operated games are purchased for resale. Whether sales tax constitutes double taxation on machines on which occupation tax is paid and on non-coin games, admission to which is taxed. Advertising and sewing services are not taxable.

Status: See Fiesta Texas Theme Park, Ltd. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #GN200563.

Fiesta Texas Theme Park, Ltd. and San Antonio Theme Park, L.P. v. Rylander, et

al. Cause #GN200563 AG Case #021567789

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Filed: 02/20/02

 Period: 05/01/93 Plaintiff's Counsel:
 Mark W. Eidman

 03/01/96
 Ray Langenberg

 03/01/96-02/28/98
 Scott, Douglass &

 Amount: \$592,759.97
 McConnico

\$349,933.08 Austin

Issue: Whether prizes awarded by Plaintiff to successful contestants of coin-operated as well as non-coin operated games are purchased for resale. Whether sales tax constitutes double taxation on machines on which occupation tax is paid and on non-coin games, admission to which is taxed. Advertising and sewing services are not taxable. Whether the assessment against Fiesta was outside limitations.

Status: Hearing on Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment and Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment set 01/05/05.

Garza, Lawrence v. Sharp, et al. Cause #98-07607

AG Case #981001886

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Jeff Mullins

Filed: 07/17/98

Period: 01/01/93- Plaintiff's Counsel: Stephen P. Dillon

09/30/95 Lindeman & Dillon

Amount: \$83,910 Houston

Issue: Whether the Comptroller used the proper sampling procedure and whether Plaintiff was correctly notified of the procedure to be used.

Status: Discovery in progress. Trial setting passed by agreement. Settlement negotiations in progress.

General Dynamics Corp. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN201322

AG Case #021598057

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Filed: 04/22/02

Period: 09/01/88- Plaintiff's Counsel: Ira A. Lipstet

11/30/91 Matthew G. Grimmer Amount: \$7,000,000 Jenkens & Gilchrist

Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*.

Status: Answer filed.

General Dynamics Corp. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN201323

AG Case #021598073

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Filed: 04/22/02

Period: 12/01/91- Plaintiff's Counsel: Ira A. Lipstet

02/28/93 Matthew G. Grimmer Amount: \$4,500,000 Jenkens & Gilchrist

Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*.

Status: Answer filed.

Gift Box Corp. of America, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN102934

AG Case #011492865

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Filed: 09/05/01

Period: 10/91-03/97 Plaintiff's Counsel: Ira A. Lipstet

Amount: \$359,929.22 Matthew G. Grimmer

Jenkins & Gilchrist

Austin

Issue: Whether additional resale certificates should have been accepted for Plaintiff's sales of boxes and packaging materials.

Status: Answer filed. Plaintiff to make settlement offer.

Graybar Electric Co., Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #97-01795

AG Case #97682966

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Natalie McLemore

Filed: 02/13/97

Period: 01/01/88- Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

12/31/91 Ray Langenberg Amount: \$107,667 Scott, Douglass &

> McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether the sample audit resulted in a correct assessment.

Status: Settlement negotiations in progress.

Grocers Supply-Institutional-Convenience, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause

#GN300904

AG Case #031782931

Sales Tax; Refund & Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 03/20/03 Plaintiff's Counsel: Judy M. Cunningham

Period: 06/01/95- Attorney at Law

05/31/98 Austin

Amount: \$79,688.23

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's purchase of electricity used to lower the temperature of food products is exempt as electricity used in processing.

H.J. Wilson Co., Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #98-11574

AG Case #981063332

Sales Tax: Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Filed: 10/13/98

Plaintiff's Counsel: Period: 07/01/90-David E. Cowling 12/31/93 Jones, Day, Reavis &

Amount: \$1,076,019 Pogue

Dallas

Issue: Whether the purchase of sales catalogs printed out-of-state and shipped to Plaintiff's customers in Texas (at no charge to the customer) incur sales tax.

Status: On hold. Plaintiff filed bankruptcy in Tennessee 03/25/99. Motion to dismiss by court set 05/07/01. Plaintiff filed motion to retain 04/25/01; granted order to retain 08/14/01 on DWOP, again on 07/25/02, and again 01/16/03.

Herndon Marine Products, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #91-14786

AG Case #91164788

Sales Tax: Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Filed: 10/18/91

Period: 01/01/87 -Plaintiff's Counsel: John D. Bell

03/31/90 Wood, Boykin & Wolter

Corpus Christi Amount: \$62,465

Issue: Whether predominant use of electricity from Plaintiff's meter is exempt. Whether burden of proof in administrative hearing should be clear and convincing evidence or preponderance of the evidence.

Status: Special exceptions and answer filed.

Hollon Oil Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN303895

AG Case #031866668

Sales Tax; Protest & Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Refund

Filed: 09/30/03 Plaintiff's Counsel: Gilbert J. Bernal, Jr. Stahl & Bernal Period: 01/01/99-

12/31/02 Austin

Amount: \$144,937.05

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes sales and use tax on materials which Plaintiff purchased for installation in customers' vehicles. Whether Plaintiff is entitled to a credit for sales tax collected from customers for said materials.

Status: Answer filed.

JBI, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN203450

AG Case #021681218

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Filed: 09/20/02

Period: 01/01/93- Plaintiff's Counsel: W. Stephen Benesh

08/31/99 James E. Boice

Amount: \$1,046,033.09 Bracewell & Patterson

Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller assessed tax on transactions that were sales for resale or on which use tax had already been paid.

Status: Discovery in progress.

JBS Packing Co., Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN402498

AG Case #042003590

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Jeff Mullins

Filed: 08/05/04

Period: 12/01/96- Plaintiff's Counsel: Mike Cichowski

12/31/99 Port Arthur

Amount: \$1.820.48

Issue: Whether parts and services for an ice machine, a hydro-blasting machine, and for a steam cleaning machine are exempt from sales tax under the manufacturing exemption.

Status: Settlement offer made; rejected by Defendants.

J.C. Penney Co., Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN300883

AG Case #031770613

Sales Tax; Refund & Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 03/19/03 Plaintiff's Counsel: David Cowling Period: 01/01/91- Robert Lochridge

03/31/93 Jones Day Amount: \$951,802.17 Dallas

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes use tax on paper, ink and the printing of catalogs printed outof-state. Whether local use tax in McAllen, Texas applies to Plaintiff's aircraft. Alternatively, whether the printing service is performed outside Texas. Whether a sales and use tax on the catalogs violates the Commerce Clause, due process or equal protection. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorney's fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Jerman Cookie Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN101492

AG Case #011451598

Sales Tax; Refund and Asst. AAG Assigned: Natalie McLemore

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 05/16/01 Plaintiff's Counsel: Steve M. Williard Period: 12/01/92 through L. Don Knight

03/31/97 Meyer, Knight &

Amount: \$43,121.45 Williams

Issue: Whether plaintiff's sale of cookies and brownies is taxable under Tax Code §151.314 and Comptroller Rule 3.293. Plaintiff also seeks review under the Administrative Procedures Act and the UDJA, and seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Amended Petition filed. Discovery in progress.

Kennedy, Gary G. dba Kennedy's Korner v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN202992

AG Case #021663539

Blake Hawthorne Sales Tax; Declaratory Asst. AAG Assigned:

Judgment

Filed: 08/22/02 Plaintiff's Counsel: Gary G. Kennedy

Period: Pro Se Austin Amount: \$

Issue: Whether Plaintiff may enjoin fraud audit subpoena and suspension of his sales and mixed beverage permits.

Status: Counter-claim filed. Taxpayer filed bankruptcy 10/15/03.

Kroger Co., The v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN403582

AG Case #042058032

Sales Tax: Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Jeff Mullins

Filed: 10/28/04

Plaintiff's Counsel: Period: 01/01/94-Judy M. Cunningham 06/30/97

Attorney at Law

Austin Amount: \$366,142.79

Issue: Whether electricity used in a manufacturing process is exempt from sales tax. Whether the manufacturing process used by Plaintiff results in a physical change to tangible personal property being resold.

Status: Answer filed.

LTV Aerospace & Defense Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN203321

AG Case #021676770

Jim Cloudt Sales Tax; Refund & Asst. AAG Assigned:

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 09/13/02 Plaintiff's Counsel: Alan E. Sherman, Esq.

Period: 06/01/86-**Dallas**

08/31/92

Amount: \$8,576,046

Issue: Plaintiff claims a sale for resale exemption on items resold to the federal government. Plaintiff also claims a denial of equal protection and that the incidence of the tax falls on the federal government. Plaintiff claims that the Comptroller violated the commerce clause by failing to follow title-passing regulations and also seeks a declaratory judgment and attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

LabOne, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN002190

AG Case #001335645

Sales Tax; Protest & Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 08/02/00 Plaintiff's Counsel: James F. Martens
Period: 1991-1997 James F. Martens &

Amount: \$520,983.95 Associates

Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff has nexus in Texas for tax on performance of lab tests in Kansas. Whether Plaintiff's activities are taxable insurance services in Texas. Whether Plaintiff's services and sales of supplies are exempt by rule and statute. Whether tax on Plaintiff violates due process and equal taxation. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment hearing held 06/24/02. District Court denied parties' cross-motions for summary judgment. Trial postponed. Settlement negotiations in progress.

Laredo Coca-Cola Bottling Co., and Coca-Cola Enterprises, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et

al. Cause #GN300575 AG Case #031759657

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Filed: 02/21/03

Period: 05/01/93- Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

 06/30/96
 Ray Langenberg

 10/01/91-06/30/96
 Curtis Osterloh

 01/01/90-12/31/92
 Scott, Douglass &

07/01/91-06/30/96 McConnico Amount: \$6.726 Austin

\$591,086

Issue: Whether post-mix machines qualify for manufacturing tax exemption. Whether some of the machines also qualify for the sale for resale exemption, because plaintiff received consideration even if not valued in money.

Status: Answer filed.

Laredo Coca-Cola Bottling Co., and Coca-Cola Enterprises, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et

al. Cause #GN401379 AG Case #041964941

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Filed: 04/30/04

Period: 05/01/93- Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

 06/30/96
 Ray Langenberg

 10/01/91-06/30/96
 Curtis Osterloh

 01/01/90-12/31/92
 Scott, Douglass &

 07/01/91-06/30/96
 McConnico

Amount: \$18,579.66 Austin

\$443,299.77

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes sales tax on the purchase of money validators due to the integration of the validators into the final product, the vending machine.

Status: Answer filed.

Laredo Country Club, Inc., A Texas Corp. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #98-11834

AG Case #981064363

Sales Tax; Protest; Asst. AAG Assigned: Natalie McLemore

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 10/20/98 Plaintiff's Counsel: John Christian Period: 08/1-30/98 Vinson & Elkins

Amount: \$2,054 Austin

Issue: Whether sales tax is due on the portion of country club membership fees designated as "capital improvement fees" and "gratuities."

Status: Dismissed for Want of Prosecution 07/25/02. Reopened, as plaintiff filed a Motion for Reinstatement, granted 10/31/02.

Laredo Pizza, Inc., and Samuel L. Alford, and L & H Pacific, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn,

et al. Cause #GN401507 AG Case #041971482

Sales Tax; Protest & Asst. AAG Assigned: Natalie McLemore

Refund

Filed: 05/12/04 Plaintiff's Counsel: Richard L. Rothfelder Period: Michael C. Falick

Amount: \$32,965.35 Rothfelder & Falick, LLP

Houston

Issue: Whether prizes awarded by Plaintiff to successful contestants of amusement machines were purchased for resale and exempt from sales tax. Whether the sale of food, beverage and party packages is taxable as food and beverage or non-taxable as amusement services. Whether assets transferred from one subsidiary to another are exempt from sales tax as an "occasional sale."

Status: Answer filed.

Lee Construction and Maintenance Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-01091

AG Case #991112160

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Natalie McLemore

Filed: 01/29/99

Period: 01/01/92- Plaintiff's Counsel: Timothy M. Trickey 12/31/95 The Trickey Law Firm

Amount: \$31,830.47 Austin

Issue: Various issues, including credits for bad debts, tax paid, tax on new construction and tax paid in Louisiana, resale exemptions and waiver of penalty and interest.

Status: Settlement negotiations pending.

Levy, Tara, et al. v. OfficeMax, Inc. and Best Buy Stores, L.P. Cause #GN201252 AG Case #041926635

Sales Tax; Declaratory Asst. AAG Assigned: Gene Storie

Judgment

Filed: Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark L. Perlmutter
Period: C Brooks Schuelke
Amount: \$ Perlmutter & Schuelke,

LLP Austin Issue: Plaintiff claims a refund for the class of persons who paid sales tax on rebates. Plaintiff seeks declaratory judgment interpreting Texas Tax Code Sections pertaining to cash discounts and exemption from sales tax.

Status: Class-action suit. Comptroller named defendant. Comptroller's Plea to the Jurisdiction and Plaintiffs' Motion for Declaratory Judgment heard 10/19/04. Plea granted. Court requested briefs to address whether any part of case survives. Amended Order dismisses all claims against the Comptroller.

Liaison Resources, L.P., and David S. Claunch v. Rylander, et al. Cause

#GN202795

AG Case #021663307

Sales Tax; Protest & Asst. AAG Assigned: Scott Simmons

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 08/14/02 Plaintiff's Counsel: James F. Martens
Period: 1991-1999 Christina A. Mondrik

Amount: \$136,659.08 James F. Martens &

Associates Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiffs owe tax on computer-related temporary services. Whether the Comptroller improperly assessed tax on items sold out of state or on sales for resale. Plaintiffs also claim a violation of equal protection and seek attorneys' fees.

Status: Negotiations in progress. Trial set 12/13/04.

Local Neon Co., Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-15042

#03-04-00261-CV AG Case #001254036

Sales Tax; Protest & Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 12/31/99 Plaintiff's Counsel: James D. Blume

Period:

Amount: \$34,390,24

Jennifer S. Stoddard
Blume & Stoddard

Dallas

Judy M. Cunningham

Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff was doing business in Texas by delivering and installing its signs that were sold under contract negotiated outside of Texas. Whether Plaintiff is entitled to declaratory judgment and attorneys' fees.

Status: Plea to the Jurisdiction granted to State 04/07/04. Notice of Appeal filed 04/29/04. Clerk's Record filed 06/04/04. Appellant's brief filed 07/01/04; Oral Argument requested. Appellees' brief filed 08/02/04; Oral Argument requested. Submitted on Briefs 10/21/04.

Lockheed Martin Corp., as Successor to Lockheed Martin Vought Systems Corp. and Loral Vought Systems Corp. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN103525

AG Case #011523446

Sales Tax; Refund & Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 10/24/01 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman Period: 09/01/92- Ray Langenberg

11/30/95 Doug Sigel

Amount: \$2,680,000 Curtis J. Osterloh

Scott, Douglass & McConnico

Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Lockheed Corp. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN201000

AG Case #021583745

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Filed: 03/26/02

Period: 03/01/93- Plaintiff's Counsel: Ira A. Lipstet

01/31/96 Matthew G. Grimmer Amount: \$7,000,000 Jenkens & Gilchrist

Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*.

Status: Answer filed.

Lockheed Martin Corp. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN200999

AG Case #021583737

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Filed: 03/26/02

Period: 01/01/96- Plaintiff's Counsel: Ira A. Lipstet

09/30/97 Matthew G. Grimmer Amount: \$3,500,000 Jenkens & Gilchrist

Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*.

Status: Answer filed.

Lockheed Martin Corp., Successor to Lockheed Martin Vought Systems Corp. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN201725

AG Case #021620414

Sales Tax; Refund & Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 05/23/02 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman Period: 12/01/95- Ray Langenberg

06/30/97 Doug Sigel

Amount: \$1,857,000 Curtis J. Osterloh Scott, Douglass &

McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*.

Lockheed Martin Corp. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN300420

AG Case #031751118

Sales Tax; Refund & Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 02/10/03 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman Period: 07/01/97- Ray Langenberg

07/31/01 Doug Sigel

Amount: \$2,837,000 Scott, Douglass &

McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Lockheed Martin Kelly Aviation Center, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause

#GN400625

AG Case #041928870

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Filed: 02/26/04

Period: 01/01/99- Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

12/31/00 Ray Langenberg

Amount: \$1,025,000 Doug Sigel

Scott, Douglass &

McConnico

Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*.

MG Building Materials, Ltd. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN301686

AG Case #031802978

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Filed: 05/23/03

Period: 01/01/96- Plaintiff's Counsel: Douglas W. Sanders

04/30/99 Elizabeth A. Copeland Amount: \$2,015,426.24 Jeffrey T. Cullinane

Oppenheimer, Blend, Harrison & Tate

San Antonio

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's audit was flawed because the Comptroller improperly failed to consider late resale or other exemptions in the sample. Whether the sample methodology and 60-day letter made it impossible for Plaintiff to show that the assessment was wrong. Plaintiff also requests a jury trial.

Status: Discovery in progress. Court denied both cross-motions for partial summary judgment 08/26/04. Plaintiff's Motion to Compel set 11/18/04. Trial set 03/07/05.

Mars, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN401349

AG Case #041965336

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Filed: 04/29/04

Period: 01/01/94- Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

09/30/97

Amount: \$726,024 R. Eric Hagenswold Scott, Douglass &

McConnico

Ray Langenberg

Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's purchases of certain equipment and related items are exempt from sales tax under the manufacturing exemption. Whether Plaintiff's purchases of installation labor are exempt as purchases of non-taxable stand-alone installation services.

May Department Stores Co., The v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN300583

#03-03-00729-CV AG Case #031759525

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Filed: 02/21/03

Period: 04/01/96- Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

03/31/99 Ray Langenberg Amount: \$930,000 Doug Sigel

Scott, Douglass &

McConnico

Austin

Issue: Whether printing on bulk paper purchased out-of-state and made into catalogs and circulars is subject to use tax. Whether the essence of the transaction in producing the catalogs is non-taxable labor. Whether "distribution" is included in the use tax.

Status: Summary Judgment granted to Comptroller 10/30/03. Plaintiff filed Notice of Appeal 12/02/03. Appellant's brief filed 01/12/04. Appellees' brief filed 02/17/04. Appellant's reply brief filed 03/08/04. Oral Argument held 04/28/04. Third COA affirmed District Court's judgment 07/15/04. Motion for Rehearing filed 07/30/04; denied. Substituted Opinion issued 08/26/04; still affirming judgment for Comptroller. Petition for Review filed 10/11/04. Conditional waiver of response filed by State 10/13/04. Court requested response to Petition for Review; due 12/03/04.

Medaphis Physicians Services Corp. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #94-11610

AG Case #94149390

Sales Tax; Protest and Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 09/16/94 Plaintiff's Counsel: Garry M. Miles Period: 05/01/94- Vinson & Elkins

06/30/94 Austin

Amount: \$17,063

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's services are taxable (1) insurance services, (2) debt collection services, or (3) data processing services, and whether Rules 3.330, 3.354, and 3.355 exceed the Comptroller's rule making authority.

Status: Inactive.

Mitchell, Christia Parr v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN201330

AG Case #021604541

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Natalie McLemore

Filed: 04/22/02

Period: 01/01/95- Plaintiff's Counsel: Christia Parr Mitchell,

12/31/98 Pro Se Amount: \$160,870.48 San Antonio

Issue: Whether plaintiff may recover a sales tax refund for taxes paid by a corporation controlled by her ex-husband when the liability was paid pursuant to orders of the court in which the divorce was granted.

Status: Inactive.

Nachhattar Tejpal Legha Enterprises, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN203398

AG Case #021676812

Sales Tax; Refund & Asst. AAG Assigned: Natalie McLemore

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 09/18/02 Plaintiff's Counsel: James F. Martens Period: 04/01/97- James F. Martens &

07/31/99 Associates Amount: \$15,841 Austin

Issue: Plaintiff claims that the Comptroller wrongfully assessed additional sales tax by misstating Plaintiff's gross taxable receipts and wrongfully failed to entertain Plaintiff's refund claim. Plaintiff also seeks a declaratory judgment and attorneys' fees.

Status: Motion for Summary Judgment hearing set 04/05/05. Trial set 04/18/05.

Neiman Marcus Group, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #93-10279-A

AG Case #93340549

Sales Tax; Protest, Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Refund & Declaratory

Judgment Plaintiff's Counsel: David E. Cowling

Filed: 08/26/93 Gregg Perry

Period: 01/01/87- Jones, Day, Reavis &

03/31/90 Pogue Amount: \$1,046,465 Dallas Issue: Plaintiff's customers buy gifts from Plaintiff outside Texas and have the gifts delivered by common carrier to Texas "donees." Should the Comptroller have assessed use tax on these "gift sends." Second Issue: whether tax is due on certain remodeling services. Plaintiff asks for attorneys fees under 42 USC §§1983 and 1988.

Status: Agreed judgment signed 03/11/96 on the gift send issue. An agreed order for severance was signed on 03/11/96 on the remodeling issues and the attorneys' fees. Cause renumbered 93-10279-A. State filed a plea to jurisdiction on attorneys' fees on 10/06/93.

Neiman Marcus Group, Inc., The v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN102403 AG Case #011478294

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Filed: 08/01/01

Period: 04/01/90- Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

12/31/93 Ray Langenberg Amount: \$1,908,969.01 Scott, Douglass &

McConnico

Austin

Issue: Whether printing charges for catalogs are not subject to use tax because: (a) the printing services were not used in Texas, (b) the printed catalogs were gifts for which title transferred outside Texas, (c) plaintiff did not have sufficient control to be a Texas user, (d) the statute does not include distribution in the definition of use, (e) no use tax is due under the doctrine of *Morton Bldgs.*, (f) Rule 3.346(b)(3)(A) does not apply or is invalid, and/or (g) Tax Code 151.3111(a) exempts the printing service. Whether photograph retouching is (a) a sale of tangible personal property, or (b) repair, remodeling, maintenance or restoration of tangible personal property, or (c) exempt under Tax Code 151.330(e). Also, whether remodeling contracts were tax included and whether sampling was improper. Plaintiff seeks attorneys fees.

Status: Answer filed.

North American Intelecom, Inc., et al. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #97-05318

AG Case #97733563

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Filed: 05/02/97

Period: 04/01/91- Plaintiff's Counsel: Jasper G. Taylor, III

05/31/95 Fulbright & Jaworski

Amount: \$2,029,180 Houston

Issue: Whether care, custody, and control of Plaintiff's public telephone equipment passed to their customers, so that Plaintiff could buy the equipment tax free for resale.

Status: Inactive.

North Texas Asset Management, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #94-08603

AG Case #94113766

Sales Tax; Declaratory Asst. AAG Assigned: James Parsons

Judgment

Filed: 7/14/94 Plaintiff's Counsel: Judy M. Cunningham

Period: 05/02/91- Attorney at Law

12/31/91 Austin

Amount: \$24,307

Issue: Whether a sale of a business approved by the SBA (which held a lien and received the proceeds) is tantamount to a foreclosure sale so that no successor liability should attach.

Status: Answer filed; inactive. Parties are involved in informal discussions to resolve or eliminate issues currently in controversy.

Northrop Grumman Systems Corp. (Successor to Northrop Grumman Corp. and Vought Aircraft Co.) v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN201344

AG Case #021607155

Sales Tax; Refund & Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 05/01/02 Plaintiff's Counsel: Gilbert J. Bernal, Jr. Period: 09/01/92- Stahl & Bernal

11/30/95 Austin

Amount: \$1,600,000

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*. Plaintiff claims that collection of the tax violates the supremacy clause as a tax on the U.S. government and that the Comptroller violated the constitutional requirements of equal protection and equal taxation by denying the refund claim. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Petrolite Corp. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #91-13885

AG Case #91149840

Sales Tax; Protest and Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Refund

Filed: 09/27/91 Plaintiff's Counsel: David H. Gilliland

Period: 04/01/84 - Clark, Thomas & Winters

03/31/88 Austin

Amount: \$432,105

Issue: Resale certificates; taxable maintenance services; taxability of various chemicals and other tangible personal property used in oil well services.

Status: Inactive.

RAI Credit Corp. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN003556

AG Case #011395266

Sales Tax; Refund & Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 12/12/00 Plaintiff's Counsel: David Cowling
Period: 01/01/8912/31/93 Gregory E. Perry
Jones, Day, Reavis &

Amount: \$297,616.32 Pogue

Dallas

Issue: Whether Plaintiff lacks nexus for collection of use tax on accounts receivable that were factored to it. Whether Plaintiff is a "seller" or "retailer" engaged in business in Texas. Whether Plaintiff is liable under §111.016 as a person who received tax. Whether imposition of tax denies equal protection. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress. Summary Judgment hearing passed. Non-jury trial to be set before 03/07/05.

Raytheon E-Systems, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN101511

#03-02-00346-CV #03-0416 AG Case #011451606

Sales Tax; Declaratory Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Judgment and Refund

Filed: 05/17/01 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman Period: 06/01/89 - Ray Langenberg

12/31/96 Doug Sigel

Amount: \$6,000,000 Curtis J. Osterloh

Scott, Douglass &

McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Summary Judgment hearing held 03/05/02. Partial summary judgment for plaintiff signed 03/29/02. Judgment for Raytheon granted 05/15/02. State's Notice of Appeal filed 06/04/02. Appellants' brief filed 09/20/02. Appellee's brief filed 10/18/02. Appellants' reply brief filed 11/07/02. Oral argument completed 12/04/02. Comptroller's post-submission brief filed 12/15/02. Trial court affirmed, in part, remanded, in part, 01/30/03. Motion for Rehearing and Motion for En Banc Reconsideration filed by State 03/17/03; denied 03/27/03. Petition for Review filed by State 05/12/03. Response filed 05/20/03 by Raytheon. Reply filed by State 05/30/03. Petition for Review denied 08/28/03. Motion for Rehearing filed by State 09/12/03; denied 10/24/03. Final order of the Supreme Court sent to Court of Appeals 12/09/03. Case is in discovery on remand. State's Motion for Summary Judgment granted 06/03/04. Raytheon's Motion for Summary Judgment denied 06/08/04. Order ruling that case is not final setting deadline for status report signed 06/28/04. State's Report filed 07/16/04. Judgment hearing on 10/04/04 passed to consider settlement.

Raytheon Co., as Successor in Interest to Raytheon Training, Inc. v. Rylander,

et al. Cause #GN201022 AG Case #021588694

Sales Tax; Refund & Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 03/28/02 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman Period: 08/01/88 - Scott, Douglass &

05/31/97 McConnico Amount: \$2,500,000.00 Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Raytheon Co. and Daimlerchrysler Corp. as Successors to Central Texas Airborne Systems, Inc., fka Chrysler Technologies Airborne Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN302082

AG Case #031816143

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Filed: 06/13/03

Period: 04/01/89- Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

12/31/96 Ray Langenberg

Amount: \$228,368 Doug Sigel

Scott, Douglass &

McConnico

Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Raytheon Co., as Successor to Raytheon TI Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause #GN303643 AG Case #031853625

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Filed: 09/09/03

Period: 07/01/97- Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

12/31/98 Ray Langenberg Amount: \$3,500,000 Doug Sigel

Scott, Douglass &

McConnico
Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*.

Status: Answer filed.

Raytheon Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN303644

AG Case #031853633

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Filed: 09/09/03

Period: 01/01/99- Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

12/31/02 Ray Langenberg

Amount: \$7,400,000 Doug Sigel

Scott, Douglass & McConnico
Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*.

Raytheon Co., as Successor to Raytheon E-Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause #GN303645 AG Case #031853641

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Filed: 09/09/03

Period: 01/01/97- Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

12/31/98 Ray Langenberg Amount: \$4,000,000 Doug Sigel

Scott, Douglass &

McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*.

Status: Answer filed.

Raytheon Co., as Successor to Raytheon E-Systems, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause #GN304089 AG Case #031873441

Sales Tax; Protest, Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Refund & Declaratory

Judgment Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman Filed: 10/16/03 Ray Langenberg

Period: 10/01/91- Doug Sigel

12/31/96 Scott, Douglass &

Amount: \$389,408.28 McConnico

Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*.

Reynolds Metals Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN401468

AG Case #041970799

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Filed: 05/07/04

Period: 03/01/94- Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

12/31/00 Ray Langenberg Amount: \$828,614.08 Eric Hagenswold

Eric Hagenswold Scott, Douglass &

McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether conveyors and weigh-ometers are exempt as manufacturing equipment or taxable as intraplant transportation. Whether repair and replacement parts for the conveyors are exempt from sales tax as purchases of pollution control equipment used in manufacturing and purchases of environmental repairs. Whether ship unloaders qualify as rolling stock and exempt from sales tax. Plaintiff also claims violation of equal and uniform taxation and equal protection.

Status: Answer filed.

Roadway Express, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN002831

AG Case #001357631

Sales Tax; Protest & Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 09/25/00 Plaintiff's Counsel: David Cowling

Period: 04/01/88- Robert Lochridge 05/31/92 Jones, Day, Reavis &

Amount: \$713,686.05 Pogue \$206,053.87 Dallas

Issue: Whether various equipment used by the Plaintiff with its trucks is exempt from use tax as tangible personal property sold to a common carrier for use outside the state. Alternatively, whether the equipment had been taxed as vehicle components under the interstate motor carrier tax and could not be taxed as "accessories." Alternatively, whether taxing 100% of the value of the equipment violates the Commerce Clause because of a lack of substantial nexus and of fair apportionment. Whether all tax was paid on Plaintiff's repair and remodeling contracts and capital assets. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Trial setting passed. Discovery in progress.

Robbins & Myers, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN301171

AG Case #031786551

Sales Tax; Declaratory Asst. AAG Assigned: Jeff Mullins

Judgment

Filed: 04/11/03 Plaintiff's Counsel: Henry Binder
Period: 06/01/95- Porter & Hedges

07/31/98 Houston

Amount: \$23,492.41

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is required to pay additional tax after the Comptroller's administrative order became final. Whether Plaintiff is entitled to the manufacturing exemption for down-hole drilling equipment and whether completion of Plaintiff's facility was new construction

Status: Answer filed.

Rockwell Collins, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN203339

AG Case #021676788

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Filed: 09/13/02

Period: 01/01/97- Plaintiff's Counsel: David H. Gilliland

12/31/98 Clark, Thomas & Winters

Amount: \$591,028.39 Austin

Issue: Plaintiff claims a sale for resale exemption on items resold to the federal government. Plaintiff also claims a denial of equal protection and an exemption under §151.3111.

Status: Answer filed.

Rollins & Rollins Enterprises, Inc., dba Country Kwik Stop v. Rylander, et al.

Cause #GN202097 AG Case #021640651

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Natalie McLemore

Filed: 06/28/02

Period: 08/01/97- Plaintiff's Counsel: William T. Peckham

07/31/00 Austin

Amount: \$45,059.74

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is liable for tax on food sold from its convenience store area. Whether the Comptroller applied proper percentages for loss and waste.

Status: Answer filed.

Sabine Mining Co., The v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN401382

AG Case #041964867

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Filed: 04/30/04

Period: 10/01/97- Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

09/30/01 Ray Langenberg Amount: \$905,468.12 Scott, Douglass &

> McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether replacement parts and repair services for draglines qualify as manufacturing equipment and exempt from sales tax. Plaintiff claims that the draglines directly make or cause a chemical or physical change to formations, falling within the exempt manufacturing process. Plaintiff also claims violation of equal and uniform taxation, equal rights, equal protection, due course of law and due process.

Status: Answer filed.

San Antonio Spurs, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN403429

AG Case #042050401

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Filed: 10/15/04

Period: 06/01/97- Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman 06/30/00 Curtis Osterloh

Amount: \$913,435.03 Scott, Douglass &

McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether suite rental fees are exempt from sales tax as non-taxable rentals or licenses for the use of real property.

Schoenborn & Doll Enterprises, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-07605

AG Case #991187592

Sales Tax; Protest & Blake Hawthorne Asst. AAG Assigned:

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 07/01/99 Plaintiff's Counsel: Kevin W. Morse

Period: 07/01/95-Blazier, Christensen &

Bigelow

05/31/97 Amount: \$140,936.92 Austin

Issue: Whether the portion of Plaintiff's gym membership fee allocated to aerobic training is included in Plaintiff's taxable amusement services. Whether the Comptroller improperly disregarded the rule addressing non-taxable aerobic and tanning services under the amusement services tax. Whether the Comptroller should have applied its detrimental reliance policy.

Status: Inactive. Plaintiff paid tax under pay-out agreement.

Service Merchandise Co., Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #98-11572

AG Case #981063308

Sales Tax: Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Filed: 10/13/98

Period: 01/01/92-Plaintiff's Counsel: David E. Cowling 12/31/93

Jones, Day, Reavis &

Amount: \$413,569 Pogue

Dallas

Issue: Whether the purchase of sales catalogs printed out-of-state and shipped to Plaintiff's customers in Texas (at no charge to the customer) incur sales tax.

Status: On hold. Plaintiff filed bankruptcy in Tennessee on 03/25/99. Motion to dismiss set 05/07/01; granted 08/14/01. Motion to dismiss set 07/25/02; granted 01/16/03. Plaintiff filed motion to retain 04/25/01.

Sharper Image Corp. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN203645

AG Case #021686779

Sales Tax; Protest, Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Refund & Declaratory

Judgment Plaintiff's Counsel: Gilbert J. Bernal, Jr.

Filed: 10/09/02 Stahl & Bernal

Period: 07/01/94- Austin

11/30/97

Amount: \$264,355.46 Martin I. Eisenstein

Kevin J. Beal Brann & Isaacson Lewiston, ME

Issue: Whether use tax imposed on catalogs shipped from out-of-state is unlawful because: (1) plaintiff never used the catalogs in Texas; (2) the tax violates the Commerce Clause; and, (3) Rule 3.346 is unconstitutional. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress. Trial to be reset.

Sharper Image Corp. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN203821

AG Case #021696851

Sales Tax; Protest, Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Refund & Declaratory

Judgment Plaintiff's Counsel: Gilbert J. Bernal, Jr.

Filed: 10/22/02 Stahl & Bernal

Period: 12/01/97- Austin

03/31/01

Amount: \$258,205.20 Martin I. Eisenstein

Kevin J. Beal Brann & Isaacson Lewiston, ME

Issue: Whether use tax imposed on catalogs shipped from out-of-state is unlawful because: (1) plaintiff never used the catalogs in Texas; (2) the tax violates the Commerce Clause; and, (3) Rule 3.346 is unconstitutional. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress. Trial to be reset.

Southern Sandblasting and Coatings, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN103910

AG Case #011532355

Sales Tax; Protest & Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 11/27/01 Plaintiff's Counsel: Gilbert J. Bernal, Jr. Period: 01/01/95- Stahl & Bernal

12/31/98 Austin

Amount: \$219,219.35

\$47.15

Issue: Whether items used in vessel repair, such as paint-gun parts, are exempt materials. Whether denial of the exemption violates equal protection. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress. Trial set 02/14/05.

Southwest Food Processing & Refrigerated Services, aka Southwest Refrigerated Warehousing Services v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN103390

AG Case #011509668

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Filed: 10/15/01

Period: 01/01/96- Plaintiff's Counsel: H. Christopher Mott 12/31/99 Krafsur Gordon Mott

Amount: \$188,477.57 El Paso

Issue: Whether plaintiff owes tax on electricity used to freeze food items.

Status: Inactive.

Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN402300

AG Case #041998360

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Gene Storie

Filed: 07/22/04

Period: 06/01/05- Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

12/31/98 Ray Langenberg
Amount: Curtis J. Osterloh
\$291,516,385.00 Eric Hagenswold

Scott, Douglass & McConnico

Issue: Whether equipment used to process tangible personal property for ultimate sale is exempt from sales tax under the manufacturing and processing exemption. Whether payphones purchased by Plaintiff to perform taxable telecommunications services qualify for the sale for resale exemption. Whether electricity purchased and resold as an integral part of other tangible personal property and used to perform taxable telecommunications services is exempt from sales tax. Whether stand-alone installation labor provided directly to a customer by a vendor or by a third-party installer is taxable.

Status: Answer filed.

Sprint International Communications, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #96-14298

AG Case #96637296

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Filed: 11/22/96

Period: 02/01/86- Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

01/31/90 Ray Langenberg

Amount: \$1,269,474 Curtis J. Osterloh Scott, Douglass &

McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether networking services are taxable as telecommunications services.

Status: Discussions in progress.

Steamatic of Austin, Inc., et al. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN200631

AG Case #021567771

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Natalie McLemore

Filed: 02/25/02

Period: 04/01/91- Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

04/30/94 Ray Langenberg Amount: \$103,335.27 Scott, Douglass &

McConnico

Issue: Whether plaintiff is entitled to a tax refund for repairs to tangible personal property on the grounds that such repairs were for casualty losses exempt under the Comptroller's Rule 3.357 and 3.310. Whether the claim is barred by limitations. Whether the Comptroller improperly changed the rule on casualty losses.

Status: Motion for Summary Judgment filed. Response filed. Partial Summary Judgment on limitations granted for Plaintiff 04/07/04.

Summit Photographix, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN001808

AG Case #001323633

Sales Tax; Declaratory Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Judgment

Filed: 06/23/00 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark D. Hopkins Period: 01/01/94- Fields & Hopkins

12/31/96 Austin

Amount: \$6,532,000

Hilary Thomas

Kondos & Kondos Law

Offices Richardson

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is a direct sales company and may be regarded as a retailer for sales made by independent retailers of business start-up kits. Whether the Comptroller's rule defining direct sales organizations violates due process. Whether §151.024 was applied retroactively. Whether the items at issue are not taxable tangible personal property. Whether the Comptroller erred in basing the assessment on the suggested retail price of all issued items. Whether penalty and interest should be waived. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Sysco Food Services of Austin, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN400465

AG Case #041925850

Sales Tax; Refund & Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Protest

Filed: 02/17/04 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman Period: 05/01/98- Curtis Osterloh

04/30/01 Matthew J. Meese Amount: \$92,357.48 Scott, Douglass &

McConnico

Issue: Whether electricity used to lower the temperature of food products is exempt as electricity used in processing.

Status: Answer filed.

Sysco Food Services of Houston, L.P. (fka Sysco Food Service of Houston, Inc.) v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN100633

AG Case #011420734

Sales Tax; Refund & Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 03/01/01 Plaintiff's Counsel: Judy M. Cunningham

Period: 01/01/94- Austin

12/31/96

Amount: \$196,492.74

Issue: Whether electricity used to lower the temperature of food products is exempt as electricity used in processing. Whether equipment is exempt for the same reason.

Status: Answer filed.

Sysco Food Services of Houston, L.P. (fka Sysco Food Services of Houston, Inc.) v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN302075

AG Case #031816119

Sales Tax; Refund & Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 06/13/03 Plaintiff's Counsel: Judy M. Cunningham

Period: 07/01/94- Austin

06/30/98

Amount: \$270,401.80

Issue: Whether electricity used to lower the temperature of food products is exempt as electricity used in processing. Whether equipment is exempt for the same reason.

TCCT Real Estate, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-11647

AG Case #991219239

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 10/06/99 Plaintiff's Counsel: David Cowling
Period: 10/01/91- Robert Lochridge

03/31/93 Jones, Day, Reavis &

Amount: \$146,484.05 Pogue

Dallas

Issue: Whether Plaintiff sold electricity for commercial use when it obtained electrical service under a management agreement for another company which used the electricity in manufacturing or processing. Whether the exemption for electricity used in manufacturing requires the purchaser of electricity to be the user. Whether Plaintiff can be held as a seller of electricity in violation of the TPURA. Whether Plaintiff's right to equal and uniform taxation has been violated. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress. Settlement offer submitted.

TCCT Real Estate, Inc. as Successor to TCC Austin Industrial Overhead v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-11648

AG Case #991219221

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 10/05/99 Plaintiff's Counsel: David Cowling

Period: 07/01/89Robert Lochridge
12/31/91
Jones, Day, Reavis &

Amount: \$479,719.44 Pogue

Dallas

Issue: Whether Plaintiff sold electricity for commercial use when it obtained electrical service under a management agreement for another company which used the electricity in manufacturing or processing. Whether the exemption for electricity used in manufacturing requires the purchaser of electricity to be the user. Whether Plaintiff can be held as a seller of electricity in violation of the TPURA. Whether Plaintiff's right to equal and uniform taxation has been violated. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress. Settlement offer submitted.

TDI-Halter, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN100339

AG Case #011409653

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Filed: 02/01/01

Period: 01/01/93- Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

06/30/96 Ray Langenberg Amount: \$475,000 Eric Hagenswold

Scott, Douglass &

McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether conversion of drilling rigs to self-propelled, deep water rigs is manufacturing under the statute and Comptroller rules. Whether dredging is non-taxable maintenance of real property. Alternatively, whether interest should be waived.

Status: Answer filed.

Telecable Associates, Inc.; Teleservice Corp. of America; Texas Telecable, Inc.; TCA Cable of Amarillo, Inc.; and Texas Community Antennas, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN100705

AG Case #011422482

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Natalie McLemore

Filed: 03/07/01

Period: 03/01/93- Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

12/31/96 Ray Langenberg

Amount: \$400,000 Scott, Douglass & McConnico

Austin

Issue: Whether cable equipment on the customer's premises qualifies for the sale for resale exemption for property used to provide a taxable service.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Texaco, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN201543

AG Case #021613625

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Natalie McLemore

Filed: 05/10/02

Period: 05/01/87- Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman 12/31/90 Ray Langenberg

Amount: \$157,090.20 Ray Langer

Doug Sigel

Scott, Douglass &

McConnico Austin

Issue: Plaintiff claims that interest should be offset or waived for a period before a refund was made to a subsidiary.

Status: Answer filed.

Texas Gulf, Inc. v. Bullock, et al. Cause #485,228

AG Case #90311185

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Jeff Mullins

Filed: 06/05/90

Period: 01/01/85 - Plaintiff's Counsel: Ira A. Lipstet

06/30/88 Jenkins & Gilchrist

Amount: \$294,000 Austin

Issue: Are pipes exempt as manufacturing equipment or taxable as intra plant transportation.

Status: Nothing pending.

Texas Instruments, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN103526

AG Case #011523420

Sales Tax; Refund & Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 10/24/01 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

Period: 07/01/87- Ray Langenberg

12/31/90 Doug Sigel

Amount: \$27,000,000 Curtis J. Osterloh Scott, Douglass &

McConnico Austin Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Texas Instruments, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN103527

AG Case #011523438

Sales Tax; Refund & Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 10/24/01 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman Period: 01/01/91- Ray Langenberg

07/31/97 Doug Sigel

Amount: \$102,000,000 Curtis J. Osterloh

Scott, Douglass &

McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Union Carbide Corp. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN000580

AG Case #001261452

Amount: \$575,857.40

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Gene Storie

Filed: 01/13/00

Period: 01/01/89- Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

12/31/92 Ray Langenberg

Curtis Osterloh Scott, Douglass &

McConnico

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to an exemption on labor charges for installing floating roofs on tanks at its chemical plant because: (1) the roofs are exempt pollution control equipment, (2) the labor was for non-taxable new construction, or (3) the labor was for remodeling of tangible personal property.

Status: Settlement negotiations pending.

United Space Alliance, LLC v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN401174

AG Case #041954488

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Filed: 04/14/04

Period: 07/01/99- Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

07/31/03 Ray Langenberg

Amount: \$975,000 Doug Sigel

Scott, Douglass & McConnico
Austin

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*.

Status: Answer filed.

Val-Pak Franchise Operations, Inc. dba Valpak of Houston v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause #GN300267 AG Case #031746142

Sales Tax; Protest & Asst. AAG Assigned: Gene Storie

Refund

Filed: 01/28/03 Plaintiff's Counsel: James A. Hemphill
Period: 04/01/95- Graves, Dougherty,
12/31/98 Hearon & Moody

Amount: \$734,112.10 Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff sells non-taxable advertising services. Whether Plaintiff purchases non-taxable proprietary information services. Whether marketing fees are non-taxable membership dues.

Status: Settled.

West Texas Pizza, Limited Partnership v. Sharp, et al. Cause #96-11751

AG Case #96611633

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Natalie McLemore

Filed: 09/27/96

Amount: \$35,247

06/30/92

Period: 06/01/88- Plaintiff's Counsel: Richard L. Rothfelder

Milissa M. Magee Kirkendall, Isgur &

> Rothfelder Houston

Issue: Whether prizes obtained by collecting tickets from amusement machines in a restaurant are "purchased" by the customer as part of the price of the food.

Status: Inactive.

White Swan, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN304767

AG Case #041904608

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Filed: 12/18/03

Period: 10/01/93- Plaintiff's Counsel: Judy M. Cunningham

12/31/97 Austin

Amount: \$415,185.61

Issue: Whether the purchase of electricity used to lower the temperature of food products is exempt under Tax Code Sections 151.317 and 151.318. Whether the process causes a physical change to the products. Whether the decision of the Comptroller violated the statute and long-standing Comptroller policy.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Williams, Duane Everett v. Comptroller Cause #GN304667

AG Case #031899222

Sales Tax; Refund & Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 12/10/03 Plaintiff's Counsel: Michael R. Cooper

Period: 2002 Salado

Amount: \$50,000

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's civil rights were violated by the Comptroller's audit and whether the audit assessment should be set aside for lack of substantial evidence.

Status: Answer filed.

World Fitness Centers, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN201795

AG Case #021626239

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Natalie McLemore

Filed: 05/30/02

Period: 09/01/94- Plaintiff's Counsel: Ray Bonilla

05/31/98 Ray, Wood & Bonilla

Amount: \$273,005.56 Austin

Issue: Whether plaintiff owes sales tax on the discount and reserve amounts of its factored contracts when plaintiff is a cash-basis taxpayer.

Status: Answer filed.

Zale Delaware, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN202030

AG Case #021640669

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Filed: 06/24/02

Period: 08/01/92- Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

02/28/97 Ray Langenberg
Amount: \$\$333,602.57 Scott, Douglass &

McConnico

Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is liable for tax on items temporarily stored in Texas. Whether tax on services purchased by Plaintiff should be reduced to reflect the out-of-state benefit of those services. Whether Plaintiff should get a refund or credit for tax paid on inventory. Whether the Comptroller should be barred from off-setting debts in the period between the filing of Plaintiff's bankruptcy petition and the confirmation of its reorganization plan.

Zale Delaware, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN301725

AG Case #031806045

Sales Tax; Refund & Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 05/27/03 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman Period: 08/01/92- Ray Langenberg

02/28/97 Doug Sigel

Amount: \$1,170,404.64 Scott, Douglass &

McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to exemption on items of inventory temporarily stored in-state. Whether tax was improperly assessed on services performed outside the state. Whether installation services on counters and software were readily separable from taxable tangible property. Whether the Comptroller should be enjoined from taking offsets pursuant to Plaintiff's bankruptcy plea.

Insurance Tax

Allstate County Mutual Insurance Co.; Allstate Insurance Co.; Allstate Indemnity Co.; Allstate Texas Lloyds; and Allstate Property and Casualty Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN300968

AG Case #031778947

Insurance Premium Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Natalie McLemore

Protest, Refund &

Declaratory Judgment Plaintiff's Counsel: Steven D. Moore Filed: 03/26/03 Fred B. Werkenthin Period: 1995-1998 Jackson Walker

Amount: \$174,386.15 Austin

\$10,529.48 \$4,013.24 \$11,858.40 \$7,306.09

(Total: \$208,093.27)

Issue: Whether Plaintiffs owe gross premiums tax on defaulted auto insurance premiums

that are not received.

Status: Answer filed.

American Bankers Insurance Co. of Florida, et al. v. Ann Richards, et al. Cause

#396,975

AG Case #861483

Gross Premium Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Gene Storie

Protest & Declaratory

Judgment Plaintiff's Counsel: Fred B. Werkenthin

Filed: 05/08/86 Steve Moore
Period: 1985-1988 Jackson & Walker

Amount: \$1,745,569.00 Austin

Issue: Whether Tex. Ins. Code art. 4.10 unconstitutionally discriminates against foreign property and casualty companies by basing the premium tax rate on their percentage of Texas investments (equal protection). (Pleadings refer to art. 4.10, but protest letters refer to arts. 4.11 and 21.46.) Also seeks recovery and attorneys' fees pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1983.

Status: Inactive. To be dismissed.

American Fidelity Assurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN302070

AG Case #031816564

Insurance Premium Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Refund

Filed: 06/12/03 Plaintiff's Counsel: Michael W. Jones

Period: 1992 Kevin F. Lee

Amount: \$241,625.20 Thompson, Coe, Cousins

& Irons
Austin

Issue: Whether investments in "Fannie Mae" and "Freddie Mac" mortgage pools qualify as investments in Texas mortgages. Whether Rule 3.809 (c) is invalid.

Status: Answer filed.

American International Specialty Lines Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause

#GN002666 (Consolidated with *Lexington Insurance Co. and Landmark Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al.*, Cause #GN100569)

AG Case #001351998

Insurance Premium Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Gene Storie

Protest & Declaratory

Judgment Plaintiff's Counsel: Cynthia Hollingsworth Filed: 09/08/00 Curtis L. Frisbie, Jr.

Period: 1995 Randy D. Gordon Amount: \$362,975.97 Samuel E. Joyner

Gardere Wynne & Sewell

Dallas

Issue: Whether an authorized surplus lines insurer is required to pay unauthorized insurance tax when the Comptroller is unable to verify payment of tax by the agent. Whether the Comptroller wrongfully relied on another hearings decision as precedent. Plaintiff also seeks injunctive and declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: See *Lexington Insurance Co. and Landmark Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al.*, Cause #GN100569.

Fireman's Fund Insurance Co. of Ohio v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN101899

AG Case #011464476

Insurance Premium Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Gene Storie

Protest & Declaratory

JudgmentPlaintiff's Counsel:Stephen L. PhillipsFiled: 06/20/01Brian C. Newby

Period: 1992-1998 Julie K. Lane

Amount: \$439,074.12 Cantey & Hanger, Roan

& Autry
Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff, an authorized surplus lines insurer, is liable for unauthorized insurance premiums tax. Whether the Comptroller lacks authority to determine that Plaintiff is an unauthorized insurer, and whether the Texas Department of Insurance is required to make that determination. Whether the Comptroller engaged in selective and improper enforcement. Whether the assessment violates Due Process and the McCarran-Ferguson Act. Alternatively, whether penalty should be waived. Plaintiff also seeks injunctive relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

First American Title Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN301692

#03-04-00342-CV AG Case #031806011

Retaliatory Tax; Protest, Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Refund & Declaratory

Judgment Plaintiff's Counsel: Ron K. Eudy

Filed: 05/23/03 Sneed, Vine & Perry

Period: 1998 through Austin

2002

Amount: \$1,432,580.76

Issue: Whether the Comptroller properly used "split" premiums in calculating the retaliatory tax of a foreign title insurance company. Whether the Comptroller's interpretation of the title insurance tax statutes violates the Equal Protection Clause. Whether the Comptroller's policy change violated Due Process and the APA. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: The State's motion for summary judgment was granted 05/18/04 and Plaintiff's was denied. Notice of Appeal filed 06/17/04. Clerk's Record filed 07/06/04. Supplement Clerk's Records filed 07/22/04 and 07/29/04. Motion to Consolidate cases granted 07/29/04 (*Old Republic National Title Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.*, Cause #GN401630). Appellants' brief filed 08/30/04. Appellees' brief filed 10/26/04. Oral Argument set 01/19/05.

First American Title Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN401631 AG Case #041976440

Retaliatory Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

& Protest

Filed: 05/21/04 Plaintiff's Counsel: Ron K. Eudy

Period: 2003 Sneed, Vine & Perry

Amount: \$1,490,029.00 Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller properly used "split" premiums in calculating the retaliatory tax of a foreign title insurance company. Whether the Comptroller's interpretation of the title insurance tax statutes violates the Equal Protection Clause. Whether the Comptroller's policy change violated Due Process and the APA.

Status: Answer filed.

Lexington Insurance Co., Landmark Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause

#GN100569 #03-03-00169-CV #04-0429 AG Case #011417896

Insurance Premium Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Gene Storie

Protest & Declaratory

Judgment Plaintiff's Counsel: Curtis L. Frisbie, Jr.
Filed: 02/22/01 Cynthia C. Hollingsworth

Period: 1992-1995 Jeremy Martin

Amount: \$1,596,196.63 Gardere Wynne Sewell

LLP Dallas

\$36,174.92

Issue: Whether an authorized surplus lines insurer is required to pay unauthorized insurance tax when the Comptroller is unable to verify payment of tax by the agent. Whether the Comptroller wrongfully relied on another hearings decision as precedent. Plaintiff also seeks injunctive and declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Summary Judgment motions held 08/01/02; Summary Judgment granted for insurers. Notice of Appeal filed 03/21/03. Appellants' brief filed 08/15/03. Appellee's brief filed 11/10/03. Appellants' reply brief filed 12/05/03. Oral argument held 01/07/04. Third Court of Appeals reversed and remanded trial court's judgment 02/20/04. Appellees filed Motion for Consideration En Banc and Motion for Rehearing 03/08/04; overruled 03/25/04. Petition for Review filed 06/24/04. Waiver of Response filed 07/06/04. Case forwarded to Court 07/13/04. Response to Petition for Review filed by Respondent 08/26/04. Petitioner's Reply filed 09/17/04. Court requested briefs on the merits. Petitioners' brief filed 11/18/04. Respondents' brief due 12/08/04. Petitioner's reply brief due 12/23/04.

Metropolitan Life Insurance Co., et al. v. A.W. Pogue, et al. Cause #484,745 AG Case #90304512

Gross Premium Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Gene Storie

Protest

Filed: 05/24/90 Plaintiff's Counsel: Fred B. Werkenthin

Period: 1985-1986 Steve Moore 1989-1992 Breck Harrison Amount: \$1.848.606 Jackson & Walker

Austin

Issue: Whether insurance taxes are owed by insurance companies on dividends applied to paid-up additions and renewal premiums.

Status: 9th Amended Petition filed. Settlement discussed, and partial settlement agreed to. Final judgment signed on paid-up additions issue. Renewal premium issue severed and retained on docket. Plaintiffs have made settlement offer on remainder of case.

Metropolitan Life Insurance Co., et al. v. A.W. Pogue, et al. Cause #484,796

AG Case #90304503

Maintenance Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Gene Storie

Filed: 05-23-90

Period: 1989-1991 Plaintiff's Counsel: Fred B. Werkenthin Amount: \$1,616,497 Jackson & Walker

Austin

Issue: Whether Tex. Ins. Code art. 21.07-6 is preempted by ERISA.

Status: One Plaintiff has submitted documentation supporting a refund. Case will be concluded in accordance with *NGS v. Barnes*, 998 F.2d 296 (5th Cir. 1993). Severance and final judgment entered for Metropolitan. Awaiting documentation for other Plaintiffs.

Old Republic Title Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN301693

#03-04-003472-CV

(Consolidated with First American Title Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al., Cause #GN301692,

#03-04-00342-CV) AG Case #031806029

Retaliatory Tax; Protest, Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Refund & Declaratory

Judgment Plaintiff's Counsel: Ron K. Eudy

Filed: 05/23/03 Sneed, Vine & Perry

Period: 2002 Austin

Amount: \$219,626.40

Issue: Whether the Comptroller properly used "split" premiums in calculating the retaliatory tax of a foreign title insurance company. Whether the Comptroller's interpretation of the title insurance tax statutes violates the Equal Protection Clause. Whether the Comptroller's policy change violated Due Process and the APA. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: The State's motion for summary judgment was granted 05/17/04 and Plaintiff's was denied. Notice of Appeal filed 06/17/04; dismissed 07/29/04 due to Motion for Consolidation. See *First American Title Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al.*, Cause #GN301692, #03-04-00342-CV.

Old Republic National Title Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN401630

AG Case #041976416

Retaliatory Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Filed: 05/21/04

Period: 2003 Plaintiff's Counsel: Ron K. Eudy

Amount: \$289,403.85 Sneed, Vine & Perry

Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller properly used "split" premiums in calculating the retaliatory tax of a foreign title insurance company. Whether the Comptroller's interpretation of the title insurance tax statutes violates the Equal Protection Clause. Whether the Comptroller's policy change violated Due Process and the APA. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed.

STP Nuclear Operating Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN301053

AG Case #031808371

Insurance Premium Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Gene Storie

Protest

Filed: 06/11/03 Plaintiff's Counsel: Howard P. Newton

Period: 2002 Rene D. Ruiz

Amount: \$115,287.80 Cox Smith Matthews Inc.

San Antonio

Issue: Whether the independently procured insurance tax may be collected from a Texas corporation despite the decisions in *Todd Shipyards* and *Dow Chemical*. Whether imposition of the tax violates equal protection or is pre-empted by federal law governing the operation of nuclear plants.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Security National Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN001503

AG Case #001310820

Insurance Premium Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Gene Storie

Protest

Filed: 05/23/00 Plaintiff's Counsel: Jay A. Thompson

Period: 1995-1998 Thompson, Coe, Cousins

Amount: \$1,226,220.50 & Irons
Austin

Issue: Whether daily negative bank account balances should be adjusted to \$0 to compute

the proper percentage of Texas investments for gross premiums tax.

Status: Cross-motion for summary judgment filed. MSJ hearing set 12/14/04.

St. Paul Surplus Lines Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN102788

AG Case #011490877

Insurance Premium Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Gene Storie

Refund, Protest &

Declaratory Judgment Plaintiff's Counsel: Michael W. Jones

Filed: 08/24/01 Kevin F. Lee

Period: 01/01/95- Austin

12/31/98

Amount: \$163,021.27 Richard S. Geiger

Dallas

Thompson, Coe, Cousins

& Irons

Issue: Whether Plaintiff, an eligible surplus lines insurer, is liable for unauthorized insurance tax. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorney's fees.

Status: To be determined by Lexington Insurance Co., Landmark Insurance Co., et al. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Universe Life Insurance Co. v. State of Texas Cause #97-05106

#03-98-00110-CV AG Case #97727302

Insurance Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Gene Storie

Filed: 04/29/97

Period: 1993 Plaintiff's Counsel: Larry Parks

Amount: \$56,958 Long, Burner, Parks &

Sealey Austin

Issue: Whether plaintiff should be given credit against tax due for examination fees paid to the state in connection with a market conduct examination report ordered by the Texas Department of Insurance. Plaintiff also asks for penalty and interest waiver.

Status: Cross-motions for summary judgment heard 11/12/97. Summary judgment granted for Plaintiff. State appealed. Case submitted without oral argument 07/06/98. Affirmed in part, reversed and remanded in part 03/11/99. State's motion for rehearing denied. Petition for review filed 06/01/99. Briefs on merits requested by Court. State's brief filed 10/18/99. Petition denied. Case remanded to trial court. To be consolidated with Cause #GN002605, *Universe Life Insurance Co.*, *The v. Strayhorn, et al.* Settled.

Universe Life Insurance Co., The v. Cornyn, et al. Cause #GN002605

AG Case #001348580

Insurance Premium Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Gene Storie

Refund

Filed: 09/01/00 Plaintiff's Counsel: Larry Parks

Period: 1993 Long, Burner, Parks, 1994 McClellan & Delargy

Amount: \$87,288.51 Austin

\$426,620.38

Issue: Whether plaintiff should be given credit against tax due for examination fees paid to the state in connection with a market conduct examination report ordered by the Texas Department of Insurance. Plaintiff also asks for penalty and interest waiver.

Status: Comptroller to make partial refund awarded in administrative hearing. Court issued a dismissal notice. Plaintiff filed Motion to Retain. Discovery in progress. Trial was set 01/18/05. Plaintiff made a settlement offer. Case settled.

Warranty Underwriters Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-12271

AG Case #991226739

Insurance Tax; Protest & Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 10/20/99 Plaintiff's Counsel: Raymond E. White

Period: 1993-1997 Daniel Micciche 1993-1997 Akin, Gump, Strauss,

Amount: \$416,462.73 Hauer & Feld

\$214,893.74 Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller improperly included amounts not received by Plaintiff in Plaintiff's gross premiums tax base. Whether any maintenance tax is payable on Plaintiff's business of home warranty insurance. Whether the Comptroller is bound by the prior actions and determinations of the Texas Department of Insurance. Whether the assessments of tax violate due process and equal taxation. Whether penalty and interest should have been waived.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Other Taxes

Alpine ISD v. Strayhorn Cause #GV402237

AG Case #041999202

Property Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Administrative Appeal

Filed: 07/27/04 Plaintiff's Counsel: Ray Bonilla

Period: 2003 Ray, Wood & Bonilla

Amount: \$ Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and valuing sample properties. Whether the Comptroller's order on the value study is arbitrary and unreasonable.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Armelin, John M. v. City of Houston Cause #200316037

AG Case #042046375

Declaratory Judgment Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Tax; Declaratory

Judgment Plaintiff's Counsel: Arturo G. Michel

Filed: 09/10/04 Jaqueline I. Leguizamon

Period: City of Houston

Amount: \$

Issue: Whether county court fees collected from persons who are not convicted of any criminal offense are constitutional. Plaintiffs seek class action declaratory relief from the Comptroller. Plaintiff also seeks attorney's fees.

Status: Trial to be set 10/10-29/05.

Arnold, Jessamine J., Estate of, Deceased, and Jim Arnold, Jr., Independent Executor v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN203255

AG Case #021670484

Inheritance Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Filed: 09/09/02

Period: Plaintiff's Counsel: James F. Martens
Amount: \$161,956 Christina A. Mondrik

James F. Martens &

Associates Austin

Issue: Whether the IRS erred in increasing the value of the estate's assets and disallowing expenses and gifts.

Status: Answer filed.

Bailiff, Michael W. and Sylvia S. Bailiff v. Bexar County Appraisal District, et al.

Cause #2002-CI-147689 AG Case #021691704

Property Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Natalie McLemore

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 10/10/02 Plaintiff's Counsel: Christopher J. Weber Period: 2002 Christopher J. Weber,

Amount: \$ L.L.C.

San Antonio

Issue: Plaintiff claims that defendants overvalued and unequally appraised his various properties in Bexar County. Plaintiff claims that Defendants failed to meet their burden of proof and also seeks declaratory judgment and attorneys' fees.

Status: Answer filed. Plaintiff will dismiss.

Barbers Hill ISD v. Comptroller Cause #GV303127

AG Case #031831688

Property Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Administrative Appeal

Filed: 07/28/03 Plaintiff's Counsel: Robert Mott Period: 2002 Joseph Longoria Amount: \$

Sandra Griffin Karen Evertson

Perdue, Brandon, Fielder,

Collins & Mott

Houston

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by misapplying burden of proof and not properly selecting and valuing sample properties.

Status: Settled.

Bay City ISD v. Comptroller Cause #GV303229

AG Case #031835200

Property Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Administrative Appeal

Filed: 08/01/03 Plaintiff's Counsel: Robert Mott Period: 2002 Joseph Longoria

Perdue, Brandon, Fielder, Amount: \$

Collins & Mott

Houston

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by misapplying burden of proof and not properly selecting and valuing sample properties.

Status: Settled.

CarMax Auto Superstores, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN400433

AG Case #041921990

Motor Vehicle Sales Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 02/12/04 Plaintiff's Counsel: Lara L. Reenan

Period: Henry Oddo Austin &

Amount: \$0.00 Fletcher Dallas

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's tax collection and financing activities are legal under the Tax

Code, Finance Code and Constitution.

Status: Co-defendant's motion to dismiss granted 06/21/04.

Castleberry ISD; Ennis ISD; Canyon ISD; La Porte ISD v. Comptroller Cause

#96-08010

AG Case #96599817

Gene Storie Property Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned:

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 07/11/96 Plaintiff's Counsel: Robert Mott Period: 1994 Joseph Longoria

Amount: \$ Perdue, Brandon, Fielder,

Collins & Mott

Houston

Issue: Various issues concerning the validity of the Comptroller's property value study.

Status: Answer and Special Exception filed. Inactive. Settlement reached with Canyon ISD. Only La Porte ISD is now pending. LaPorte ISD has made a settlement offer. Inactive.

Chevron USA, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN304320

AG Case #031880487

Gas Production Tax: Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Refund & Declaratory

Judgment Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman Filed: 11/05/03 Ray Langenberg Period: 07/01/88-Doug Sigel

12/31/90 Scott, Douglass &

McConnico Amount: \$225,194.00

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes gas production tax on "Order 94 Payments." Plaintiff also seeks declaratory judgment and attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Comfort ISD v. Comptroller Cause #GV402302

AG Case #042000315

Property Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Natalie McLemore

Administrative Appeal

Filed: 08/02/04 Plaintiff's Counsel: Robert Mott
Period: 2003 Joseph Longoria

Amount: \$ Perdue, Brandon, Fielder,

Collins & Mott

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by misapplying burden of proof and not properly selecting and valuing sample properties.

Status: Answer filed.

Commerce ISD v. Comptroller Cause #GV402275

AG Case #042000299

Property Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Natalie McLemore

Administrative Appeal

Filed: 07/29/04 Plaintiff's Counsel: Robert Mott
Period: 2003 Joseph Longoria

Amount: \$ Perdue, Brandon, Fielder,

Collins & Mott

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by misapplying burden of proof and not properly selecting and valuing sample properties.

Status: Answer filed.

ConocoPhillips Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN403149

AG Case #042035626

Gas Production Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Refund

Filed: 09/22/04 Plaintiff's Counsel: Jamie Nielson

Period: 01/01/95- Austin

11/30/97

Amount: \$539,224.78

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's refund claim fell within the statute of limitations deadline once the high-cost gas exemption or reduction was applied. Whether the high-cost gas refund claim involves the same type of tax as the marketing cost deduction claim which was the basis for the Section 111.207(d) tolling.

Status: Answer filed.

Cypress-Fairbanks ISD, et al. v. Troy G. Rountree, et al. Cause #2004-54335

AG Case #

Property Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Natalie McLemore

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: Plaintiff's Counsel: Walter E. Spears
Period: Stephen K. Hamilton

Amount: \$N/A Neil H. McLaurin, IV Bartley & Spears, P.C.

Houston

Issue: Whether Tax Code §32.05(c), which subordinates the liens of property owners'

associations, is unconstitutional.

Status: Answer filed.

El Paso Natural Gas Co. v. Sharp Cause #91-6309

AG Case #9178237

Gas Production Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 05/06/91 Plaintiff's Counsel: Alfred H. Ebert, Jr. Period: 01/01/87 - Andrews & Kurth

12/31/87 Houston

Amount: \$3,054,480.60

Issue: Whether Comptroller should have granted Plaintiff a hearing on penalty waiver and related issues.

Status: State's Plea in Abatement granted pending outcome of administrative hearing on audit liability. Negotiations pending.

Fort Worth's PR's, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN200711

AG Case #021573480

Mixed Beverage Gross Asst. AAG Assigned: Jeff Mullins

Receipts Tax; Protest &

Declaratory Judgment Plaintiff's Counsel: John L. Gamboa

Filed: 03/04/02 Acuff, Gamboa & White

Period: 03/01/99- Fort Worth

06/30/99

Amount: \$36,177.36

Issue: Whether the Comptroller used a non-representative sample to determine plaintiff's tax liability. Whether depletion and error rates were calculated correctly.

Status: Discovery extended until 05/15/05. Plea to the Jurisdiction and MSJ submitted.

Gilani, Fred v. Progressive Amusement, Inc., Craig Byler and Comptroller Cause

#2004-10090-16 AG Case #041948720

Property Tax; Injunction Asst. AAG Assigned: Jeff Mullins

& Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 03/30/04 Plaintiff's Counsel: Stephen D. Stephens

Period: Lewisville

Amount: \$

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's claim of complying with contract terms results in ownership of personal property. Whether the defendants' enforcement actions are arbitrary. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief and a temporary restraining order.

Status: Answer filed. Will be dismissed due to bankruptcy filing.

Glen Rose ISD v. Comptroller Cause #GV402292

AG Case #042000307

Property Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Natalie McLemore

Administrative Appeal

Filed: 07/30/04 Plaintiff's Counsel: Robert Mott
Period: 2003 Joseph Longoria

Amount: \$ Perdue, Brandon, Fielder,

Collins & Mott

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by misapplying burden of proof and not properly

selecting and valuing sample properties.

Status: Answer filed.

Greenville ISD v. Comptroller Cause #GV402276

AG Case #041999350

Property Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Administrative Appeal

Filed: 07/29/04 Plaintiff's Counsel: Robert Mott
Period: 2003 Joseph Longoria

Amount: \$ Perdue, Brandon, Fielder,

Collins & Mott

Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by misapplying burden of proof and not properly selecting and valuing sample properties. Whether the Comptroller's order on the value study is arbitrary and unreasonable.

Status: Answer filed.

Harris County, et al. v. John W. Adams, et al. Cause #2004-54306

AG Case #

Property Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Natalie McLemore

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: Plaintiff's Counsel: Walter E. Spears
Period: Stephen K. Hamilton
Amount: \$N/A Neil H. McLaurin, IV

Neil H. McLaurin, IV Bartley & Spears, P.C.

Houston

Issue: Whether Tax Code §32.05(c), which subordinates the liens of property owners' associations, is unconstitutional.

Status: Answer filed.

Huntsville ISD v. Comptroller Cause #GV303124

AG Case #031831696

Property Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Administrative Appeal

Filed: 07/28/03 Plaintiff's Counsel: Robert Mott
Period: 2002 Joseph Longoria
Amount: \$ Sandra Griffin

Karen Evertson

Perdue, Brandon, Fielder,

Collins & Mott

Houston

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by misapplying burden of proof and not properly selecting and valuing sample properties.

Status: Settled.

Lake Austin Spa Investors, Ltd. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN203899

AG Case #021703913

Hotel Occupancy Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Natalie McLemore

Protest, Injunction &

Declaratory Judgment Plaintiff's Counsel: Kirk R. Manning
Filed: 10/28/02 Stephen L. Phillips
Period: 03/01/97- Julie K. Lane
11/30/00 Cantey & Hanger

12/01/00-03/31/02 Austin

Amount: \$193,629.45

\$59,232.72

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's service charges are subject to the hotel tax. Whether the charges are gratuities under the Comptroller's rule. Plaintiff also seeks injunctive relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress. Discussions in progress with opposing counsel.

MFC Finance Co. of Texas v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN002653

AG Case #001352632

Motor Vehicle Sales Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Refund

Filed: 09/07/00 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman Period: 01/01/96- Ray Langenberg Scott, Douglass &

Amount: \$5,533,079.80 McConnico

Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to tax credit and refund as provided under the sales tax bad debt statute for motor vehicle taxes on installment sales where the purchaser defaulted. Whether the refusal to allow a refund violates equal taxation because there is no rational basis to treat installment sellers of vehicles differently than vehicle renters and other retailers.

Status: Trial setting passed. Discovery in progress.

Marathon ISD v. Strayhorn Cause #GV402238

AG Case #041999236

Property Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Natalie McLemore

Administrative Appeal

Filed: 07/27/04 Plaintiff's Counsel: Ray Bonilla

Period: 2003 Ray, Wood & Bonilla

Amount: \$ Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and valuing sample properties. Whether the Comptroller's order on the value study is arbitrary and unreasonable.

Status: Discovery in progress.

McLane Co., Inc. and McLane Foodservice-Lubbock, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.

Cause #GN104253 #03-03-00502-CV AG Case #021547393

Protest Tax; Protest, Asst. AAG Assigned: Gene Storie

Injunction & Declaratory

Judgment Plaintiff's Counsel: Gilbert J. Bernal, Jr. Filed: David J. Sewell

Period: Stahl & Bernal Amount: \$1.173.83 & Austin

\$3,690.00

Issue: Whether the Comptroller must accept a letter of credit as security for Plaintiff's participation in the cigarette tax trust fund.

Status: Summary Judgment hearing held 07/30/03. Pleas to the jurisdiction granted in part and Summary Judgment granted for the Comptroller. McLane filed Notice of Appeal 08/19/03. Appellants' brief filed 01/15/04. Appellees' brief filed 03/16/04. Submitted on Oral Argument 04/07/04. On 10/14/04 the Court of Appeals affirmed in part and dismisses entire case for lack of jurisdiction. McLane filed a Petition for Review 11/29/04.

Mirage Real Estate, Inc., et al. v. Richard Durbin, et al. Cause #92-16485 AG Case #92190294

Alcoholic Beverage Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Gross Receipts Tax;

Declaratory Judgment Plaintiff's Counsel: Jim Mattox Filed: 12/03/92 Lowell Lasley

Period: Michael D. Mosher

Amount: \$

Issue: Whether the TABC and Comptroller were allowed to use inventory depletions analysis to determine amount of gross receipts tax owed. Plaintiffs seek class certification.

Status: Answer filed. Inactive.

Petro Express Management, L.L.C. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN204123

AG Case #021705918

Fuels Tax; Injunction and Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 11/14/02 Plaintiff's Counsel: Percy L. "Wayne" Isgitt

Period: 2002 C. Zan Turcotte

Amount: \$450,000 Law Offices of Perry L.

"Wayne" Isgitt, P.C.

Houston

Issue: Whether the Comptroller's collection actions are arbitrary, contrary to statute, and unconstitutional. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief and a return of seized property.

Status: Temporary Restraining Order denied. Inactive.

Preston Motors by George L. Preston, Owner v. Sharp, et al. Cause #91-11987

AG Case #91133170

Motor Vehicle Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Protest

Filed: 08/26/91 Plaintiff's Counsel: George L. Preston

Period: 12/01/86 - Paris

09/30/89

Amount: \$21,796

Issue: Whether motor vehicle tax should fall on dealer/seller rather than the purchaser

under §152.044. Related constitutional issues.

Status: Inactive.

Quinlan ISD v. Strayhorn Cause #GV402239

AG Case #041999251

Property Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Administrative Appeal

Filed: 07/27/04 Plaintiff's Counsel: Ray Bonilla

Period: 2003 Ray, Wood & Bonilla

Amount: \$ Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and valuing sample properties and whether the Comptroller failed to consider local modifiers, sales and market information. Whether the Comptroller's order on the value study is arbitrary and unreasonable.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Ranger Fuels & Maintenance, L.L.C. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN204124

AG Case #021705900

Fuels Tax; Declaratory Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Judgment & Injunction

Filed: 11/14/02 Plaintiff's Counsel: Percy L. "Wayne" Isgitt

Period: C. Zan Turcotte

Amount: \$115,000.00 Law Offices of Perry L.

"Wayne" Isgitt, P.C.

Houston

Issue: Whether fuels tax is actually owed by an unrelated company. Whether the Comptroller abused its discretion and violated Plaintiff's constitutional rights. Plaintiff seeks injunctive and declaratory relief.

Status: Temporary Restraining Order denied. Inactive.

Ranger Fuels & Maintenance, Inc., LLC v. Alon USA, LP Cause #3-03CV1535D

AG Case #042049338

Fuels Tax; Subpoena Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Filed: 10/06/04

Period: 01/01/02 to Plaintiff's Counsel: Robert J. Clary

present Owens, Clary & Aiken,

Amount: \$N/A LLP

Dallas

Issue: Creditor seeks tax and communication information.

Status: Answer filed.

Robinson, Barbara Cooke, Estate of v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN300338

AG Case #031758915

Declaratory Judgment Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Tax; Declaratory

Judgment Plaintiff's Counsel: Arne M. Ray Filed: 02/03/03 Houston

Period: 1990 Amount: \$

Issue: Whether the Comptroller's lien should be nullified as expired or invalid on its face.

Status: Cross-Motions for Summary Judgment and Defendant's Plea to the Jurisdiction

filed 02/13/04.

San Vicente ISD v. Strayhorn Cause #GV402240

AG Case #041999194

Property Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Administrative Appeal

Filed: 07/27/04 Plaintiff's Counsel: Ray Bonilla

Period: 2003 Ray, Wood & Bonilla

Amount: \$ Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and valuing sample properties. Whether the Comptroller's order on the value study is arbitrary and

unreasonable.

Status: Discovery in progress.

State Farm Fire and Casualty Co. and State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Co. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN401383

AG Case #041964826

Hotel Occupancy Asst. AAG Assigned: Gene Storie

Motor Vehicle Tax:

Refund Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman Filed: 04/30/04 Ray Langenberg

Period: 12/01/97
R. Eric Hagenswold
08/31/01

Scott, Douglass &

Amount: \$2,000,000.00 McConnico

Austin

Issue: Whether plaintiffs are exempt from hotel occupancy and motor vehicle sales taxes because of Tex. Ins. Code arts. 4.10 and 4.11. Plaintiff also claims violation of equal and uniform taxation, equal rights and protection, due course of law and process.

Status: Answer filed.

Terlingua Common ISD v. Comptroller Cause #GV302967

AG Case #031833064

Property Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Administrative Appeal

Filed: 07/17/03 Plaintiff's Counsel: Ray Bonilla

Period: 2002 Ray, Wood, Fine &

Bonilla Amount: \$

Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and valuing sample properties that involved creative financing and by misapplying burden of proof.

Status: Answer filed.

Texaco Exploration & Production, Inc. Cause #GN400440

AG Case #041925843

Gas Production Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Natalie McLemore

Refund

Filed: 02/13/04 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

Period: 01/01/97-Ray Langenberg 05/31/02 Matthew J. Meese Amount: \$456,608.80 Scott, Douglass &

> McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's initial refund claim, still pending administrative review at the time of filing a second claim, fell within the statute of limitations deadline.

Status: Answer filed.

That's Entertainment - San Antonio, LLC dba Park Place v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause #GN400781 AG Case #041937228

Mixed Beverage Gross Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Receipts Tax; Protest

Filed: 03/09/04 Plaintiff's Counsel: Curtis J. Osterloh
Period: 05/01/96- Matthew J. Meese
09/30/98 Scott, Douglass &
Amount: \$211,145.65 McConnico

Austin

Issue: Whether door charges should be taxed by both the mixed beverage gross receipts tax and sales tax. Plaintiff claims that the application of both taxes is in violation of equal and uniform taxation, and equal protection under the law. Plaintiff also claims violation of due process and the commerce clause.

Status: Discovery in progress. Trial set 04/05/05.

Willow Creek Resources, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN303805

AG Case #031859812

Gas Production Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Refund

Filed: 09/23/03 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman Period: 01/01/97- Ray Langenberg 12/31/99 Doug J. Dashiell Amount: \$1,160,682.81 Scott, Douglass &

McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether plaintiff is entitled to a natural gas production tax refund on gas which plaintiff claims qualifies for the exemption for high cost gas under §201.057.

Status: Summary Judgment hearing held 06/15/04. Court held in favor of plaintiff. Notice of Appeal filed by State 10/12/04. Appellant's brief filed 11/09/04; Oral Argument requested. Appellees' brief due 12/09/04.

Yantis ISD v. Comptroller Cause #GV402274

AG Case #041999244

Property Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Administrative Appeal

Filed: 07/29/04 Plaintiff's Counsel: Robert Mott
Period: 2003 Joseph Longoria

Amount: \$ Perdue, Brandon, Fielder,

Collins & Mott

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by misapplying burden of proof and not properly selecting and valuing sample properties. Whether the Comptroller's order on the value study is arbitrary and unreasonable.

Status: Answer filed.

Closed Cases

6S-B, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN304345

AG Case #031881436

Sales Tax; Declaratory Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Judgment

Filed: 11/07/03 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark D. Hopkins
Period: 12/01/97- Savrick, Schumann,
08/31/99 Johnson & McGarr

Amount: \$84,562.70 Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes sales tax on vending machine items for those items sold or severed by schools. Whether the sample audit was invalid. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Plea to Abate filed. Motion to Dismiss filed; granted 02/17/04.

Akin, Ted M. v. State of Texas, Dallas County Appraisal District, et al. Cause #04-

08191-H

AG Case #042015149

Property Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Gene Storie

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 08/20/04 Plaintiff's Counsel: M.M. Halpern

Period: 2004 Attorney and Counselor

Amount: \$ at Law

Dallas

Issue: Plaintiff claims that defendants overvalued and unequally appraised his various properties in Dallas County. Plaintiff claims that Defendants failed to recognize the character and condition of his properties and also seeks declaratory judgment and attorney's fees.

Status: Non-suited 09/23/04.

Alexopolous, Dimitrios P. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #99-08096

AG Case #991187865

Blake Hawthorne Sales Tax; Declaratory Asst. AAG Assigned:

Judgment

Filed: 07/14/99 Plaintiff's Counsel: Stephen W. Sather

Period: 07/01/88-Naman, Howell, Smith &

Lee

03/31/95 Amount: \$134,455.65 Austin

Issue: Issue is whether the Comptroller incorrectly calculated Plaintiff's gross taxable sales by using too low a factor for Plaintiff's personal consumption, improperly comparing Plaintiff's operations to other fast-food outlets, failing to consider that higher subsequent sales were due to population increases, determining that Plaintiff kept inadequate records when Plaintiff had lost them in a fire, and failing to consider the results of an IRS audit. Whether penalty and interest should be waived.

Status: Bankruptcy stay in effect. Discovery in progress. Trial set 10/15/01. Plaintiff filed bankruptcy petition 09/24/01. Bankruptcy/Collection Division has requested bankruptcy court to abstain. Case to be tried in Bankruptcy Court 11/08/02. Judgment in favor of Comptroller entered by Bankruptcy Court.

Alvarado ISD v. Comptroller Cause #GV303208

AG Case #031833056

Property Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Administrative Appeal

Filed: 07/31/03 Plaintiff's Counsel: Ray Bonilla

Period: 2002 Ray, Wood, Fine &

Amount: \$ Bonilla

Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and valuing sample properties that involved creative financing and by misapplying burden of proof.

Status: Settled. Agreed Judgment signed 09/09/04.

Avery ISD v. Comptroller Cause #GV303141

AG Case #031833155

Property Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Administrative Appeal

Filed: 07/30/03 Plaintiff's Counsel: Susan Feller Heiligenthal

Period: 2002 Linebarger, Goggan,
Amount: \$ Blair & Sampson

Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller's order on the value study is arbitrary and unreasonable. Whether the Comptroller violated equal protection and due process, and exceeded the rule-making authority granted by the Legislature. Plaintiff also seeks a declaration regarding the validity of the Comptroller's rules and hearings process.

Status: Settlement approved. Agreed Judgment signed 03/30/04.

Bandas, David v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN201236

AG Case #021598024

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Scott Simmons

Filed: 04/16/02

Period: 05/01/96- Plaintiff's Counsel: Tom Tourtellotte

04/30/00 Hance Scarborough

Amount: \$24,178.86 Wright Woodward &

Weisbart Austin

Issue: Whether plaintiff is entitled to a sale for resale exemption on data processing services used in preparing tax returns.

Status: Final Judgment for Plaintiff entered 09/25/03.

Bedrock General Contractors v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN101432

AG Case #011442035

Sales Tax; Declaratory Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Judgment

Filed: 05/10/01 Plaintiff's Counsel: Timothy M. Trickey
Period: 06/01/92- The Trickey Law Firm

01/31/96 Austin

Amount: \$64,552.33

Issue: Whether successor liability was retroactively imposed. Whether successor liability may be imposed when little or no cash is exchanged in the purchase of the predecessor.

Status: Case dismissed 06/04/04.

Big Tex Air Conditioning, Inc. v. Bullock, et al. Cause #486,321

AG Case #90322672

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Filed: 6/26/90

Period: 04/01/85- Plaintiff's Counsel: John W. Berkel

07/31/88 Houston

Amount: \$181,397

Issue: Detrimental reliance and various allegations of unconstitutional enforcement; statute

of limitations.

Status: Case dismissed 05/18/04.

Broaddus ISD v. Comptroller Cause #GV303142

AG Case #31833080

Property Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Administrative Appeal

Filed: 07/30/03 Plaintiff's Counsel: James R. Evans

Period: 2002 Susan Feller Heiligenthal
Amount: \$
Linebarger, Goggan,
Blair, & Sampson

Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller's order on the value study is arbitrary and unreasonable. Whether the Comptroller violated equal protection and due process, and exceeded the rule-making authority granted by the Legislature. Plaintiff also seeks a declaration regarding the validity of the Comptroller's rules and hearings process.

Status: Settled. Agreed Judgment signed 08/25/04.

Caddo Mills ISD v. Comptroller Cause #GV303143

AG Case #031833114

Property Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Administrative Appeal

Filed: 07/30/03 Plaintiff's Counsel: Susan Feller Heiligenthal

Period: 2002 Linebarger, Goggan, Amount: \$ Blair & Sampson

Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller's order on the value study is arbitrary and unreasonable. Whether the Comptroller violated equal protection and due process, and exceeded the rulemaking authority granted by the Legislature. Plaintiff also seeks a declaration regarding the validity of the Comptroller's rules and hearings process. Whether sale prices for residential property were not properly adjusted.

Status: Settled. Agreed Judgment signed 09/01/04.

Colt, Mach V., Trustee of the Harry T. Lloyd Charitable Trust, Successor in Interest to House of Lloyd, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN100740

AG Case #011423951

Sales Tax: Refund & Gene Storie Asst. AAG Assigned:

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 03/09/01 Plaintiff's Counsel: Marilyn A. Wethekam Period: 01/01/95-

Horwood Marcus & Berk

03/31/99 Chartered

Amount: \$645,193.40 Chicago, Illinois

> David E. Cowling Charolette Noel Gregory E. Perry Jones, Day, Reavis &

Pogue Dallas

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to refund of sales tax on "hostess free goods," because Plaintiff paid use tax on the goods. Whether sales tax collected from its hostesses on hostess free goods can be refunded to them by a credit for merchandise. Whether Rule 3.325(b)(2) is invalid. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: To be resolved with *House of Lloyd*. Settled.

Columbia-Brazoria ISD v. Comptroller Cause #GV303144

AG Case #031833106

Property Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Administrative Appeal

Filed: 07/30/03 Plaintiff's Counsel: Susan Feller Heiligenthal

Period: 2002 Linebarger, Goggan,
Amount: \$ Blair & Sampson

Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller's order on the value study is arbitrary and unreasonable. Whether the Comptroller violated equal protection and due process, and exceeded the rule-making authority granted by the Legislature. Plaintiff also seeks a declaration regarding the validity of the Comptroller's rules and hearings process. Whether sale prices for residential property were not properly adjusted.

Status: Settled. Agreed Judgment signed 08/30/04.

Comstock ISD v. Comptroller Cause #GN302662

AG Case #031831670

Property Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Jana Kinkade

Administrative Appeal

Filed: 07/28/03 Plaintiff's Counsel: Kirk Swinney

Period: 2002 Javier B. Gutierrez
Amount: \$ McCreary, Veselka,

Bragg & Allen

Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and valuing sample properties and whether the Comptroller failed to consider local modifiers, sales and market information.

Status: Agreed Judgment signed 12/05/03.

Dorinco Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN203924

AG Case #021700380

Gross Premium Gene Storie Asst. AAG Assigned:

Insurance and

Maintenance Tax: Protest Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman Filed: 10/29/02 Ray Langenberg Period: 1991-1997 Scott, Douglass &

Amount: \$1,411,505.77 McConnico

Austin

Issue: Whether tax was improperly assessed because Texas has no nexus with plaintiff or with the transactions in issue. Whether tax was also improperly assessed on premiums that did not cover Texas risks.

Status: Motion for Summary Judgment hearing held 11/18/03. Plaintiff's Motion granted. Defendants' Motion denied. Judgment signed 07/29/04. Defendants filed a motion for new trial; set 10/19/04. Hearing passed. Final Judgment signed 09/27/04. Defendants will not appeal.

Forney ISD v. Comptroller Cause #GV303155

AG Case #031833049

Asst. AAG Assigned: Jana Kinkade Property Tax;

Administrative Appeal

Filed: 07/30/03 Plaintiff's Counsel: Ray Bonilla

Period: 2002 Ray, Wood, Fine &

Bonilla Amount: \$

Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and valuing sample properties that involved creative financing and by misapplying burden of proof.

Status: Agreed Judgment signed 12/04/03.

Hines Interests Limited Partnership v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN003245

AG Case #001381680

Sales Tax; Protest & Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Refund

Filed: 11/08/00 Plaintiff's Counsel: Gerard A. Desrochers

Period: 07/01/92- Houston

02/28/94

Amount: \$129,677.60

Issue: Whether correction of original construction defects is new construction or real property repair and remodeling. Whether Comptroller Rule 3.357 conflicts with legislative intent. Whether the Comptroller's application of the statute and rule violate due process and equal protection. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Dismissed for Want of Prosecution 02/12/04.

House of Lloyd, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN000111

AG Case #001261478

Sales Tax; Protest & Asst. AAG Assigned: Gene Storie

Refund

Filed: 01/21/00 Plaintiff's Counsel: Marilyn A. Wethekam
Period: 06/01/92- Horwood Marcus & Berk

12/31/96 Chartered

Amount: \$597,281.67 Chicago, Illinois

L.G. (Skip) Smith

Clark, Thomas & Winters

Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes use tax on direct sales items, hostess free goods and demonstrator kits. Whether Plaintiff owes tax for under-collection of local sales tax. Whether the Comptroller's sample was flawed because it failed to consider over-collections of tax. Whether penalty should be waived.

Status: Settled.

Inova Diagnostics, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN201829

AG Case #021626213

Franchise Tax; Refund & Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 06/03/02 Plaintiff's Counsel: Gilbert J. Bernal, Jr.

Period: 1997 & 1998 Stahl & Bernal

Amount: \$275 Austin

\$347

Issue: Whether taxpayer has nexus with Texas. Whether the capital- based franchise tax is measured by net income for purposes of P.L. 86-272. Whether the Comptroller wrongfully forfeited plaintiff's corporate privileges. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Non-suited 03/12/03. Closure pending use of discovery in *Inova Diagnostics, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.*, *Cause No. GN302862*.

JHS Enterprises, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN201357

AG Case #021613591

Sales Tax; Declaratory Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Judgment

Filed: 04/25/02 Plaintiff's Counsel: Arne M. Ray
Period: 01/01/97- Ray & Associates

09/30/99 Houston

Amount: \$77,774.37

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes tax for storage of abandoned vehicles later sold by the City of Houston. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Dismissal with Prejudice granted 04/06/04.

John Hancock Mutual Life Insurance Co., The v. Rylander, et al. Cause

#GN001612

AG Case #001316520

Sales Tax; Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Filed: 06/05/00

Period: 01/01/94- Plaintiff's Counsel: James D. Blume 12/31/98 Jennifer S. Stoddard

Amount: \$345,377.95 Blume & Stoddard

Dallas

Issue: Whether an insurance company is exempt from sales taxes on its use of electricity on the grounds that Tex. Ins. Code Art. 4.11, Section 9 prohibits them.

Status: Motion for Summary Judgment filed. Case stayed pending the outcome of *USAA v. Strayhorn*, Cause No. 03-02-00747-CV in the Third Court of Appeals. Judgment entered against Plaintiff 11/08/04. Final judgment signed 11/08/04.

Lebaron Hotel Corp., dba The Lebaron Hotel v. Sharp, et al. Cause #91-17399

AG Case #9210477

Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Jana Kinkade

Filed: 12/13/91

Period: 10/01/87 - Plaintiff's Counsel: Robert C. Cox

06/30/90 Dallas

Amount: \$22,326

Issue: Whether Comptroller could tax an arbitrary percentage of ingredients in complimentary mixed drinks and whether ingredients are exempt because they are taxed elsewhere. Is tax due on repairs to parking lot. Whether purchase of items from Ramada Inn is exempt as entire operating assets of a business or identifiable segment.

Status: Non-suited 11/25/03.

Lubbock-Cooper ISD v. Comptroller Cause #GV303125

AG Case #031831654

Property Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Jana Kinkade

Administrative Appeal

Filed: 07/28/03 Plaintiff's Counsel: Robert Mott
Period: 2002 Joseph Longoria

Amount: \$ Sandra Griffin

Karen Evertson

Perdue, Brandon, Fielder,

Collins & Mott

Houston

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by misapplying burden of proof and not properly selecting and valuing sample properties.

Status: Agreed Judgment granted 01/28/04.

Lynch, Michael J. II, Assignee of Estrella Sola, Inc. v. Strayhorn Cause #2003755

AG Case #031771124

Mixed Beverage Gross Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Receipts Tax; Protest,

Refund & Declaratory Plaintiff's Counsel: Michael J. Lynch II

Judgment Pro Se Filed: 02/26/03 El Paso

Period: 1996-2002

Amount: \$

Issue: Whether separate classification of mixed beverage and wine and beer permit holders is unreasonable and in violation of equal taxation. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief.

Status: Non-suited 12/22/03.

Marfa ISD v. Comptroller Cause #GV303146

AG Case #031833163

Property Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Administrative Appeal

Filed: 07/30/03 Plaintiff's Counsel: James R. Evans

Period: 2002 Susan Feller Heiligenthal
Amount: \$
Linebarger, Goggan.

Linebarger, Goggan, Blair, & Sampson

Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller's order on the value study is arbitrary and unreasonable. Whether the Comptroller violated equal protection and due process, and exceeded the rule-making authority granted by the Legislature. Plaintiff also seeks a declaration regarding the validity of the Comptroller's rules and hearings process. Whether the Comptroller failed to properly use local modifiers and sampling techniques.

Status: Settled. Agreed Judgment signed 09/07/04.

Mineral Wells ISD v. Comptroller Cause #GV303209

AG Case #031833031

Property Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Administrative Appeal

Filed: 07/31/03 Plaintiff's Counsel: Ray Bonilla

Period: 2002 Ray, Wood, Fine &

Amount: \$ Bonilla
Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and valuing sample properties that involved creative financing and by misapplying burden of proof.

Status: Settled. Agreed Judgment signed 09/09/04.

National Business Furniture, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #98-03927

AG Case #98932766

Sales Tax; Protest & Asst. AAG Assigned: Jim Cloudt

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 04/15/98 Plaintiff's Counsel: Gilbert J. Bernal, Jr. Period: 01/01/93- Stahl & Bernal

07/31/95 Austin

Amount: \$68,398

Issue: Whether promotional materials printed out-of-state and delivered into Texas are

subject to use tax.

Status: Stipulation of Dismissal signed 03/05/04.

Point Isabel ISD v. Comptroller Cause #GV303014

AG Case #031829617

Property Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Jana Kinkade

Administrative Appeal

Filed: 07/21/03 Plaintiff's Counsel: C. Richard Fine Period: Kevin O'Hanlon

Amount: \$ O'Hanlon & Associates

Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller incorrectly estimated the market value of single family residences.

Status: Agreed Judgment signed 12/04/03.

Praxair, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #97-03919 (consolidated with Cause No.

95-00690, *Praxair*, *Inc.* v. *Sharp*, et al.)

AG Case #97706272

Sales Tax; Refund & Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 04/01/97 Plaintiff's Counsel: Gerard A. Desrochers

Period: 01/01/90- Houston

12/31/90

Amount: \$57,815

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erroneously denied Plaintiff's claim for refund of tax paid on manufacturing equipment, alleging that Plaintiff was not engaged in actual manufacturing.

Status: See Cause No. 95-00690, Praxair, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Dismissed for Want of

Prosecution 03/29/00.

Praxair, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #95-00690

AG Case #95214921

Sales Tax; Refund & Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 01/18/95 Plaintiff's Counsel: Gerard A. Desrochers

Period: 1990 Houston

Amount: \$74,608

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erroneously denied Plaintiff's claim for refund of tax paid on manufacturing equipment, alleging that Plaintiff was not engaged in actual manufacturing.

Status: Dismissed for Want of Prosecution 03/29/00.

Presidio ISD v. Comptroller Cause #GV303152

AG Case #031835192

Property Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Administrative Appeal

Filed: 07/30/03 Plaintiff's Counsel: Ray Bonilla

Period: 2002 Ray, Wood & Bonilla

Amount: \$ Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by not properly selecting and valuing sample

properties.

Status: Settled. Agreed Judgment signed 09/09/04.

R Communications, Inc. fka RN Communications, Inc. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #91-

4893

#03-91-00390CV AG Case #9162355

Sales Tax; Declaratory Asst. AAG Assigned: Gene Storie

Judgment

Filed: 04/08/91 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark How

Period: 10/01/80 - Short, How, Frels &

11/02/84 Tredoux Amount: \$None Dallas

(Plaintiff was assessed \$67,836 tax but did not

pay)

Issue: Whether a taxpayer can be required to pay the disputed tax before filing suit in district court. Constitutionality of §112.108 under Texas Constitution Open Courts provision.

Status: District Court granted State's plea to the jurisdiction. State won the appeal. Supreme Court reversed and remanded on 04/27/94. State's motion for rehearing denied. Hearing on Motion to Dismiss held 07/14/04; granted.

R.G.V. Vending, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN304344

AG Case #031881428

Sales Tax; Declaratory Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Judgment

Filed: 11/07/03 Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark D. Hopkins
Period: 09/01/99- Savrick, Schumann,
12/31/01 Johnson & McGarr

Amount: \$233,847.27 Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes sales tax on vending machine items for those items sold or severed by schools. Whether the sample audit was invalid. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Plaintiff filed Application for Temporary Restraining Order 01/22/04. Defendant filed Response to Plaintiff's TRO application 01/22/04. TRO application denied 01/22/04. Tax case transferred to Bankruptcy/Collections Division. Received notice of Final Summary Judgment signed 07/08/04.

Rahmes, Todd W., Individually and on Behalf of All Similarly Situated Consumers v. Louis Shanks of Texas, Inc., Strayhorn, et al. Cause #GN201766

#03-04-00298-CV AG Case #031851256

MTA Tax; Refund & Asst. AAG Assigned: Gene Storie

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 08/29/03 Plaintiff's Counsel: George Y. Nino
Period: 05/30/00 The Nino Law Firm

Amount: \$101.86 Houston

Ray Bonilla Buck Wood

Ray, Wood & Bonilla

Austin

Issue: Plaintiff claims a refund and injunctive relief for the class of persons who overpaid local MTA tax. Plaintiff also claims DTPA and fraud violations against the retailer, and seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Pleas to jurisdiction heard 01/21/04. All Defendants' pleas granted 02/12/04. Plaintiff filed motion to amend judgment. Comptroller dismissed by amended petition. Trial court granted Defendant's Pleas to the Jurisdiction 04/22/04. Notice of Appeal filed 05/10/04. Appellant's brief filed 07/13/04; Oral Argument requested. Motion for Dismissal filed 07/09/04; denied 08/05/04 as moot. Comptroller will not be a party on the appeal.

Shelton, James M., Estate of, Deceased, and Carroll A. Maxon, Independent Co-Executor v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN104094

AG Case #021542261

Inheritance Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Gene Storie

& Refund

Filed: 12/14/01 Plaintiff's Counsel: James F. Martens Period: James F. Martens &

Amount: \$1,616,018 Associates
Austin

Issue: Whether the IRS and Comptroller failed to give proper credit against the estate value for a pending lawsuit and administrative expenses.

Status: Agreed Judgment signed.

Stephenville ISD v. Comptroller Cause #271703

AG Case #031818958

Property Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Jana Kinkade

Administrative Appeal

Filed: 06/30/03 Plaintiff's Counsel: Robert Mott
Period: Joseph Longoria

Amount: \$ Perdue, Brandon, Fielder,

Collins & Mott

Houston

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by misapplying burden of proof and not properly selecting and valuing sample properties.

Status: Non-suited 11/26/03.

Stephenville ISD v. Comptroller Cause #GN302542

AG Case #031829542

Property Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Jana Kinkade

Administrative Appeal

Filed: 07/21/03 Plaintiff's Counsel: Robert Mott
Period: Joseph Longoria

Amount: \$ Perdue, Brandon, Fielder,

Collins & Mott

Houston

Issue: Whether the Comptroller erred by misapplying burden of proof and not properly selecting and valuing sample properties.

Status: Agreed Judgment granted 12/05/03.

Tarkington ISD v. Comptroller Cause #GV303148

AG Case #031833098

Property Tax; Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Administrative Appeal

Filed: 07/30/03 Plaintiff's Counsel: Susan Feller Heiligenthal
Period: 2002 Linebarger, Goggan,
Amount: \$ Blair & Sampson

Austin

Issue: Whether the Comptroller's order on the value study is arbitrary and unreasonable. Whether the Comptroller violated equal protection and due process, and exceeded the rule-making authority granted by the Legislature. Plaintiff also seeks a declaration regarding the validity of the Comptroller's rules and hearings process. Whether sale prices for residential property were not properly adjusted.

Status: Settled. Agreed Judgment signed 08/23/04.

Texas Instruments, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN102799

AG Case #011496635

Franchise Tax; Protest & Asst. AAG Assigned: Christine Monzingo

Declaratory Judgment

Filed: 08/27/01 Plaintiff's Counsel: **David Cowling** Period: 1987-1990 Todd Wallace Amount: \$6,683,563.48

Gregory E. Perry Jones, Day, Reavis &

Pogue Dallas

Issue: Whether delivering goods to plaintiff's customers in plaintiff's "bond rooms" for eventual shipment out-of-state were sales that generated Texas receipts. Whether Plaintiff's long-term contracts were properly characterized as service contracts. Whether treatment of Plaintiff's cost-plus contracts as service contracts violated equal protection or equal and uniform taxation. Whether all interest should have been waived. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Agreed Judgment signed 03/24/04.

USA Waste Services of Houston, Inc. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN003453

#03-03-00515-CV #04-0419

AG Case #001388065

Scott Simmons Sales Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned:

Filed: 12/01/00

Period: 01/01/94-Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman Ray Langenberg

03/31/97

Amount: \$14,016.28 Eric Hagenswold Scott, Douglass &

> McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether steam cleaning done for Plaintiff's customers by a third party is a sale for resale as an integral part of Plaintiff's taxable waste removal services.

Status: Motion for Summary Judgment hearing held 07/14/03; Summary Judgment granted for Comptroller. Notice of Appeal filed 08/25/03. Appellant's brief filed 10/13/03. Appellees' brief filed 11/13/03. Appellant's reply brief filed 12/03/03. Appellees' amended brief filed 12/12/03. Submitted on Oral Argument 02/04/04. Third Court of Appeals affirmed trial court's Judgment in favor of Comptroller 03/18/04. Petition for Review filed 05/03/04. Respondent waived response 05/20/04. Case forwarded to Court 05/25/04. Petitioner's response was due 07/21/04. Response to Petition for Review filed by Respondent 07/21/04. Petition for Review denied 09/03/04.

U.S. Home Corp. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN003082

AG Case #001372424

Franchise Tax: Refund Asst. AAG Assigned: Christopher Jackson

Filed: 10/20/00

Period: 1992 and 1993 Plaintiff's Counsel: D. Steven Henry Amount: \$46,607.88

Gregory A. Harwell Robert M. Reed, Jr. Gardere & Wynne

Dallas

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to write down or write off the value of its investment in bankrupt subsidiaries.

Status: Dismissed for Want of Prosecution 12/12/03.

United Services Automobile Association & USAA Life Insurance Co. v. Rylander, et al. Cause #GN103414

#03-02-00747-CV #03-1172 AG Case #011509643

Sales Tax: Refund Gene Storie Asst. AAG Assigned:

Filed: 10/16/01

Plaintiff's Counsel: Period: 02/01/91-Mark W. Eidman

12/31/99

Ray Langenberg Scott, Douglass & Amount: \$200,000,000+

McConnico Austin

Issue: Whether plaintiffs are exempt from sales taxes because of Tex. Ins. Code arts. 4.10 and 4.11.

Status: Defendants' plea to the jurisdiction set 05/01/02. Summary Judgment for Defendants granted 05/13/02. Plaintiffs filed motion for new trial to extend deadline for appeal. Notice of Appeal filed by Plaintiff 11/27/02. USAA's brief filed 04/07/03. Comptroller's brief filed 06/13/03. Oral argument completed 09/10/03. Appellee's postsubmission brief filed 09/16/03. Opinion issued 11/06/03 affirming trial court's Summary Judgment in favor of Comptroller. Petition for Review filed in Tx. Supreme Court 12/19/03. Respondents filed Waiver of Response 01/12/04. Court requested response, filed 02/12/04. Petitioner's reply filed 02/27/04. Briefing on the merits requested 03/25/04. Petitioners' brief filed 04/26/04. Respondents' brief filed 05/28/04. Petitioners' reply brief filed 06/14/04. Petition for Review denied 09/10/04.

Westcott Communications, Inc., Law Enforcement Television Network, Inc., Westcott ECI, Inc. and TI-IN Acquisition Corp. v. Sharp, et al. Cause #98-14049

#03-02-00351-CV #03-0480 AG Case #991093113

Franchise Tax; Protest Asst. AAG Assigned: Blake Hawthorne

Filed: 12/17/98

Period: 01/01/92-Plaintiff's Counsel: Mark W. Eidman

12/31/94

Ray Langenberg Steve Wingard Amount: \$1,182,242.67 Scott, Douglass &

McConnico

Austin

Issue: Whether apportionment of satellite service gross receipts to Texas violates the commerce, due process or equal protection clauses of the Constitution or the Tax Code and Comptroller rules apportioning receipts to the state where a service is performed. Alternatively, whether interest should be waived.

Status: Court granted Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment 05/20/02. Notice of Appeal filed 06/11/02. Clerk's Record filed 07/11/02. Appellants' brief filed 08/23/02. Appellees' brief filed 09/23/02. Appellants' reply brief filed 11/08/02. Submitted on oral argument 11/13/02. Appellees' letter brief filed 11/21/02; post-submission brief filed 12/09/02. Third COA affirmed trial court's judgment 03/20/03. Appellants' Motion for Rehearing filed 04/11/03; Third COA overruled Westcott's Motion for Rehearing 04/24/03. Petition for Review filed in the Supreme Court 06/02/03. Response waived by State 06/17/03. Supreme Court requested a response to the petition; filed by Respondent 08/19/03. Case forwarded to higher court 10/02/03. Supreme Court requested briefs on the merits. Petitioners' brief filed 10/31/03. Respondents' brief filed 11/20/03. Petitioners' reply brief filed 12/22/03. Petition for Review denied 01/30/04.

Index

Administrative hearing, 88	Definition and application, 64
constitutional and statutory requirements,	nexus, 14
101, 102, 103, 104, 109, 114	refund of tax collected from independent
finality, 57	contractor, 103
Amusement Tax	taxable use, sampling, 106
amusement tax v. sales tax, 41	Domestic Insured
business interference, 89	constitutional limits on tax, 79
coin operated machines and non-coin	Electricity
operated games, 32	insurer exemption, 107
Fitness & aerobic training services, 59	manufacturing exemption, 38
real property services, 59	processing, 35, 62, 64, 65, 66, 71
sale for resale, 41	Estate Credits
Assessment	claim value of pending lawsuit, 113
inconsistency with hearing decision, 17	Estate Values
Audit	taxable gifts, 84
procedure, 71	Factored Contracts
Business loss carryforward	cash-basis accounting, 71
limitations, 5	Financing Lease
merger, 9, 10	sample audit, 14
Catalogs	Food Products
nexus, 60	convenience store/deli, 58
nexus, taxable use, 35, 60, 61	mall vendor, 38
printing, 47	Fraud Audit, 38
use taxprinted out of state, 37, 50	Games
Cigarette Tax Trust Fund	amusement tax v. sales tax, 32
security, 92	Gross Premiums
Class Action	defaulted auto policies, 73
constitutional and statutory requirements, 83	paid-up additions, 77
injunctive relief, 113	renewal premiums, 77
refund suit against vendor, 113	split premium to agent, 76, 78, 79
sales tax, 42	Gross receipts
Coin operated machines and non-coin operated	apportionment of accounts receivables
games	receipts, 5
amusement tax v. sales tax, 32	apportionment of satellite service receipts,
Construction contract	118
lump sum or separated contract, 18, 25	double taxation, 97
Country Club fees	interstate telephone charges, 4, 11
sales tax, 41	inventory depletion, 93
Credit for Overpaid Tax	out-of-state sales, 115
inventory or bankruptcy, 72	severance pay and merger expenses, 6
Creditor	shipping from out of state, 11
payments by distribution, 95	Gross Taxable Sales
Data processing, 48	estimated audit, 49
Debt collection services, 48	Inadequate Records, 100
Depreciation	Health Care Supplies
straight line or accelerated, 12	sales tax, 21
Detrimental reliance, 102	High Cost Gas
Direct Marketing	limitations, 87, 98
advertising materials, 70	Inaccurate Certification
Direct Sales	burden of proof 86 87 89 90 113 114

sampling method, 83, 84, 85, 92, 94, 95, 96, 98,	nexus, 57
100, 105, 108, 109, 111	Motor Vehicle Seller
Independent contractors	liability for tax, 94
maid service, 18	New construction
Installation Labor	drilling rigs, 67
telecommunications equipment, 62	lump sum or separated contract, 25
Installment Sales	original defects, 105
vehicle financing, 85	tax credits, 42
Insurance services, 48	Nexus
market value estimate, 81	accounts receivable, 52
out-of-state lab tests, 39	catalogs printed out of state, 35, 60
Insurer Exemption	delivery and installation of goods, 43
limitations, 96, 117	out-of-state insurer, 105
Interest Offset	promotional materials, 16, 24, 29, 30
refund to subsidiary, 67	regional salesman, 8, 106
Intraplant transportation	shipping from out of state, 110
manufacturing exemption, 68	Occasional sales, 108
Jeopardy Determination	Officer and director compensation
business interference, 93	add-back to surplus, 2, 6
Joint venture	significant policy-making authority, 2, 3, 4
Sales tax credits, 12	Oil well services, 51
Leased Property	manufacturing exemption, 15
gas generation system, 31	Open Courts
Lien	prepayment of tax, 112
community liability, 48	Packaging
homeowners' associations, 88, 90	sale for resale, 34
nullification, 95	
Limitations	shipment out-of-state, 22, 31 Parking lot
	_
administrative proceedings, 97	repairs, 108
subsequent refund claim, 63	Penalty 12.88
Lump Sum Motor Vehicle Repairs	waiver, 13, 88
double taxation, 17, 36	Pipe
estimates separated, 16	manufacturing exemption, 68
Software Services, 15	Pipeline Services
Maid services	new construction or maintenance, 23
real property services, 18	Post Production Costs
Maintenance	order 94 payments, 86
utility poles, 22	Predominant use
Manufacturing exemption, 110, 111	electricity, 36
alteration property, 27	Premiums
candy manufacturing, 47	home warranty insurance, 81
electricity, 38	Prepayment of tax
intraplant transportation, 15, 56, 68	Open Courts, 112
non-taxable services, 23	Prizes
packaging, 27	amusement tax v. sales tax, 32
pipe, 68	cost of taxable, 70
pollution control, 56	Promotional materials
post-mix machines, 40	nexus, 16, 24, 29, 30
rolling stock, 56	ownership of, 17, 25, 26, 29
sale for resale, 27, 62	Proof
Mixed drinks, 97	burden in administrative hearing, 36
complimentary, sales tax, 108	Push-down accounting
unreasonable classification, 108	depreciation, 10
Motor Vehicle Property	merger, 5

Real Property Appraisal ARB appeal, 99 burden of proof, 84 Real Property Repair and Remodeling, 49 new construction, pollution control, 69 vs. maintenance, 22 Real property service maid service, 18 Remodeling ships, 61 Repair parking lot, 108 Residential Property market value estimate, 110 Rule making authority of Comptroller, 48 S Corporation exempt shareholder, 8 Sale for resale blanket resale certificates, 28 cable equipment, 67 computer software, 13 data processing, 101 detrimental reliance, 22 double taxation, 36 federal contractor, 19, 20, 29, 33, 34, 39, 43, 44, 45, 46, 51, 53, 54, 55, 56, 58, 68, 69 incidental lease, 28 telecommunications equipment, 62 Sample audits compliance with procedures, 31, 33 timely exemption certificates, 46 Sampling technique validity, 33, 34, 88 Service Charges gratuities, 91 Successor liability, 50 business interference, 94 retroactive application, 102 Surplus Lines Insurer unauthorized insurance tax, 74, 75, 77, 80 Taxable Surplus impairment calculation, 5 natural gas company, 7 Taxable Value presumption, 86 Telecommunication Services accounts receivable, 12 networking services, 12, 63 satellite broadcasting, 21 Telecommunications equipment transfer of care, custody, and control of

Temporary Workers computer services, 42 Texas investments, 73 bank balances, 79 mortgage pools, 74 Third Party Administration ERISA, 78 Throwback Rule P.L. 86-272, 7, 8 Vehicle Storage abandoned vehicle sales, 107 Vending Machine Sales money validators, 40 school sales, 99, 112 Waste Removal real property services, 15

Write-off

investment in subsidiaries, 116

sale for resale, 115

equipment, 50